

Fall 2016 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Instructor Name	Subject Code	Course Number	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
Abbas, Nawal	COM	0112	13	100.0%	4.23	4.31	4.15	4.17	4.23	4.15	4.20	4.23	4.23	4.08	4.15	4.31	4.31	4.22
Abbas, Nawal	COM	0111	23	87.0%	4.17	4.00	4.17	4.29	4.39	3.94	4.14	4.18	4.29	4.24	4.35	4.29	4.29	4.27
Abela, John	ARC	5814	7	85.7%	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.61	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.81
Abela, John	ARC	5126	4	100.0%	4.25	4.50	4.75	3.50	3.25	4.50	4.13	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.00	4.75	4.75	4.58
Abro, Sabah	PHY	2213	14	14.3%	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.50	3.00	4.00	4.08	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.42
Abro, Sabah	TIE	3163	26	96.2%	4.28	4.36	4.32	4.20	4.04	3.88	4.18	4.52	4.28	4.40	4.28	4.48	4.20	4.36
Abro, Sabah	TIE	5343	10	90.0%	4.78	4.56	4.78	4.67	4.67	4.78	4.70	5.00	4.78	4.89	5.00	4.89	4.89	4.91
Abro, Sabah	TME	3333	28	89.3%	4.32	4.32	4.48	4.44	4.36	4.28	4.37	4.64	4.40	4.52	4.56	4.52	4.44	4.51
Adhya, Anirban	ARC	5013	10	60.0%	4.17	3.83	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.33	4.25	4.83	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.56
Adhya, Anirban	ARC	5814	7	57.1%	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.25	4.75	4.75	4.58	5.00	4.50	4.75	4.25	5.00	4.50	4.67
Adhya, Anirban	ART	6513	3	33.3%	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.33	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.17
Ahmadi, Parviz	PHY	2413	15	66.7%	4.30	4.71	4.60	3.89	4.30	4.10	4.25	4.70	4.20	4.11	4.10	4.50	4.30	4.32
Al Hamando, Mazin	MCS	3543	18	50.0%	4.44	4.22	4.11	4.56	4.22	4.38	4.29	4.33	4.22	3.89	4.44	4.22	4.33	4.24
Al Hamando, Mazin	MCS	3633	18	33.3%	4.50	4.33	4.33	4.17	4.17	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.17	4.17	4.33	4.00	4.17	4.22
Al Hamando, Mazin	MCS	4643	8	75.0%	2.00	3.00	3.00	2.17	2.50	2.50	2.53	2.67	2.00	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.17	2.47
Al Hamando, Mazin	MCS	5723	5	20.0%	1.00	5.00	5.00	1.00	1.00	5.00	3.40	5.00	5.00	5.00	1.00	5.00	5.00	4.33
Alexandris, Athanasios	INT	3103	20	80.0%	4.81	4.81	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.69	4.76	4.94	4.88	4.81	4.88	4.88	5.00	4.90
AlGhamdi, Ali	EEE	2111	4	75.0%	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.06	4.67	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.89
AlGhamdi, Ali	EEE	2123	37	75.7%	3.21	2.86	3.18	3.68	3.07	2.68	3.11	3.71	3.04	3.46	3.52	3.75	3.00	3.42
Ali, Sk	EIE	4253	4	50.0%	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.50	4.00	2.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.67
Ali, Sk	EIE	6653	38	44.7%	4.76	4.71	4.76	4.76	4.65	4.65	4.72	4.53	4.71	4.71	4.59	4.53	4.47	4.59
Ali, Sk	EMS	5603	35	45.7%	4.69	4.63	4.81	4.69	4.75	4.75	4.72	4.75	4.63	4.81	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.74
Allegretto, Gianluca	EME	5983	15	66.7%	4.70	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.70	4.63	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.60	4.70	4.70	4.68
Allen, Victor	MBA	7063	21	100.0%	4.62	4.57	4.38	4.43	4.38	4.48	4.48	4.71	4.67	4.57	4.50	4.71	4.71	4.65
Almstead, Blake	ART	3523	9	55.6%	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.40	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80
Alwerfalli, Daw	EEM	6753	34	85.3%	4.41	4.41	4.62	4.52	4.41	4.38	4.46	4.66	4.62	4.69	4.52	4.62	4.66	4.63
Alwerfalli, Daw	EME	3023	23	95.7%	4.41	4.41	4.36	4.29	4.32	4.32	4.35	4.59	4.45	4.41	4.41	4.55	4.45	4.48
Alwerfalli, Daw	EME	5513	35	91.4%	4.50	4.47	4.47	4.53	4.41	4.34	4.45	4.66	4.50	4.63	4.50	4.53	4.47	4.55
Alyass, Kussiy	MCS	1224	20	60.0%	3.67	4.08	4.17	4.17	3.83	3.25	3.86	4.08	3.33	3.33	3.33	2.83	3.25	3.36
Alyass, Kussiy	MCS	1414	25	64.0%	3.88	3.94	4.06	3.81	3.63	3.69	3.83	4.38	3.25	3.38	3.50	2.75	3.13	3.40
Anderanin, Paula	MCO	3643	16	62.5%	3.40	4.00	3.70	3.60	3.50	3.50	3.62	4.40	3.70	3.80	3.80	4.00	4.10	3.97
Anneberg, Lisa	EEE	4253	22	40.9%	3.89	4.00	4.11	4.22	4.00	3.89	4.02	4.22	4.00	4.44	4.11	4.67	4.11	4.26
Anneberg, Lisa	EEE	4263	19	47.4%	4.11	4.33	4.44	4.33	4.11	4.44	4.30	4.56	4.44	4.78	4.56	4.67	4.44	4.57
Anneberg, Lisa	EEE	5364	41	48.8%	4.85	4.85	4.85	4.85	4.80	4.85	4.84	4.90	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.95	5.00	4.98
Anneberg, Lisa	EEE	5204	28	46.4%	4.85	4.85	4.85	4.77	4.77	4.85	4.82	4.85	4.85	4.85	4.85	4.85	4.85	4.85
Annis-Alajaj, Nicole	ARI	4143	8	62.5%	3.00	3.00	3.60	2.40	2.60	2.60	2.87	3.40	3.40	3.00	2.60	3.40	3.20	3.17
Annis-Alajaj, Nicole	EAE	1093	7	57.1%	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.25	4.33	4.75	4.00	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.25	4.46
Annis-Alajaj, Nicole	EAE	3113	10	70.0%	3.14	3.29	3.43	3.29	3.29	3.43	3.31	4.29	3.57	4.00	4.00	4.14	3.57	3.93
Annis-Alajaj, Nicole	EEE	2123	13	61.5%	2.38	2.25	2.88	2.75	2.00	2.00	2.38	3.38	3.25	3.38	3.38	3.25	2.88	3.25
Appleby, Lavetta	BME	2103	16	68.8%	3.55	3.36	3.18	3.91	3.64	3.64	3.55	4.45	3.18	3.64	3.82	3.27	3.55	3.65
Appleby, Lavetta	CHM	3423	4	75.0%	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.67	4.00	4.33	4.28	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Appleby, Lavetta	CHM	4001	3	100.0%	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.78	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
Appleby, Lavetta	CHM	3431	3	33.3%	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Arlinghaus, Frank	MCS	1414	30	40.0%	3.75	4.00	4.08	3.67	3.42	3.50	3.74	4.58	3.25	3.75	3.83	3.25	3.33	3.67
Arlinghaus, Frank	MCS	3413	26	30.8%	4.00	3.75	4.38	4.13	4.25	4.00	4.08	4.63	4.00	4.00	3.88	4.38	4.00	4.15
Arslan, Selin	EME	4013	28	78.6%	4.55	4.45	4.36	4.41	4.50	4.50	4.46	4.68	4.64	4.73	4.68	4.73	4.67	4.69
Arslan, Selin	EME	5153	32	62.5%	4.70	4.75	4.90	4.80	4.40	4.40	4.70	4.80	4.85	4.70	4.79	4.80	4.80	4.79
Ashton, Marshall	ART	4612	4	100.0%	4.00	4.25	4.00	4.00	3.75	4.00	4.00	4.25	4.00	4.25	4.00	4.50	4.25	4.21
Ashton, Marshall	GAM	2123	9	77.8%	4.29	4.43	4.43	4.29	4.43	4.43	4.38	4.71	4.29	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.71	4.57
Ashton, Marshall	GAM	2213	17	58.8%	4.30	4.40	4.50	4.00	4.30	4.40	4.32	4.80	4.33	4.50	4.20	4.80	4.70	4.56
Ashton, Marshall	GAM	4514	5	80.0%	4.00	4.25	4.25	3.75	4.75	4.50	4.25	4.75	4.00	4.50	4.25	5.00	4.50	4.50

Fall 2016 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Instructor Name	Subject Code	Course Number	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
Awada, Abir	COM	0094	11	81.8%	3.78	3.89	3.67	3.22	3.56	3.33	3.57	3.38	3.63	4.00	2.89	4.22	3.44	3.55
Azar, Ghassan	MCS	1142	19	57.9%	3.70	3.80	3.60	3.90	3.90	3.60	3.75	4.09	3.55	3.64	3.64	3.82	3.55	3.71
Azar, Ghassan	MCS	1514	9	22.2%	3.00	3.00	4.50	4.00	3.50	3.50	3.58	4.00	3.50	4.00	4.00	3.50	3.50	3.75
Azar, Ghassan	MCS	2514	12	83.3%	4.00	4.20	4.20	3.90	4.20	4.30	4.13	3.90	3.80	4.40	3.90	4.20	4.10	4.05
Baker, John	COM	2103	21	57.1%	4.42	4.25	4.17	4.08	4.08	4.33	4.22	4.67	4.17	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.42	4.40
Bales, Fernando	ARC	1223	13	84.6%	3.82	3.55	3.91	3.64	4.00	3.91	3.80	3.73	3.09	3.64	3.45	3.64	3.55	3.52
Bales, Fernando	ARC	2116	14	64.3%	4.00	4.11	4.22	4.11	4.11	4.33	4.15	4.44	4.11	4.22	4.00	4.11	4.22	4.19
Bales, Fernando	ARC	2813	17	64.7%	4.09	4.27	4.27	4.18	4.09	4.09	4.17	4.36	4.00	4.36	4.27	4.45	4.27	4.29
Bandara, Nishantha	ECE	3823	15	93.3%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.29	4.43	4.29	4.42	4.50	4.43	4.43	4.21	4.36	4.36	4.38
Bandara, Nishantha	ECE	4843	18	100.0%	4.33	4.28	4.44	4.28	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.61	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.22	4.44	4.44
Bandara, Nishantha	ECE	5823	25	100.0%	4.72	4.80	4.76	4.72	4.68	4.76	4.74	4.72	4.76	4.76	4.76	4.72	4.68	4.73
Bandara, Nishantha	ECE	4011	3	33.3%	4.00	4.00	5.00	1.00	5.00	4.00	3.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	3.00	5.00	4.00	4.50
Barrett, Jason	SSC	2413	19	36.8%	3.71	4.14	4.14	3.43	3.57	3.57	3.76	4.86	4.43	4.71	4.57	4.86	4.71	4.69
Bauer, Glen	MCS	1424	32	56.3%	4.72	4.78	4.67	4.56	4.56	4.61	4.65	4.89	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.83	4.83	4.81
Bebawy, Mena	ECE	3013	21	95.2%	4.00	3.65	3.85	3.63	3.75	3.80	3.79	4.05	3.20	3.40	3.00	3.30	3.55	3.42
Bebawy, Mena	ECE	3723	10	100.0%	4.20	4.10	4.40	4.20	4.40	4.30	4.27	4.40	4.10	4.50	4.40	4.30	4.40	4.35
Bebawy, Mena	ECE	4761	7	85.7%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.53	5.00	4.83	5.00	4.83	5.00	4.83	4.92
Beck, Samuel	PHY	2221	20	40.0%	3.75	4.00	3.75	3.75	4.00	4.00	3.87	4.00	4.00	4.13	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.02
Beck, Samuel	PHY	2421	10	70.0%	3.86	4.14	3.86	4.00	3.86	3.71	3.90	4.14	3.86	4.29	4.29	4.17	4.00	4.12
Beck, Samuel	PHY	2221/2421	7	100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.86	4.00	3.71	3.93	3.43	3.43	4.00	3.71	3.14	3.43	3.52
Bejo, Irsida	ARC	3126	11	54.5%	3.83	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.83	3.83	3.92	4.33	4.00	4.83	4.00	4.67	4.33	4.36
Bell-Huff, Cristi	EGE	2123	23	56.5%	4.62	4.31	4.31	4.46	4.31	4.38	4.40	4.46	4.62	4.38	4.46	4.69	4.62	4.54
Bennett, Douglas	ESL	0642	2	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Berri, Sarah	ESL	0622	7	100.0%	4.71	4.71	4.86	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.74	4.71	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.81
Berri, Sarah	ESL	0542	4	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Beyer, Cameron	MCS	1142	15	60.0%	2.13	2.38	2.00	1.75	2.29	2.13	2.10	1.33	1.33	1.56	1.22	1.33	1.22	1.33
Blackwell, Linda	COM	0094	14	50.0%	4.43	4.29	4.14	4.29	4.29	4.00	4.24	4.43	4.29	4.29	4.14	4.29	4.29	4.29
Blackwell, Linda	COM	1103	20	65.0%	4.23	4.15	4.15	4.67	3.46	3.92	4.06	4.69	4.46	4.31	4.46	4.38	4.23	4.42
Blau, Ben	TAS	3013	8	75.0%	4.33	4.17	4.33	4.00	4.50	4.33	4.28	4.50	4.67	4.83	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.56
Blau, Ben	TAS	3033	17	64.7%	4.50	4.45	4.27	4.18	4.45	4.45	4.37	4.73	4.45	4.18	4.36	4.55	4.45	4.45
Blendowski, Aaron	ARC	1213	16	68.8%	4.45	4.36	4.82	4.45	4.91	4.82	4.64	4.82	4.73	5.00	4.73	4.91	4.91	4.85
Blendowski, Aaron	ARC	3116	13	61.5%	4.38	4.13	4.25	4.13	4.38	4.25	4.25	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.63	4.50	4.75	4.65
Bodurow, Constance	ARC	5423	12	75.0%	3.78	4.11	4.33	3.89	3.78	3.78	3.94	4.44	3.67	3.78	3.89	4.44	3.89	4.02
Bodurow, Constance	ARC	5693	8	87.5%	2.29	2.71	2.86	2.86	2.57	2.43	2.62	3.00	2.29	2.29	2.29	2.43	2.29	2.43
Bodurow, Constance	ARC	5814	6	83.3%	2.40	2.80	3.80	2.20	3.60	3.40	3.03	3.60	2.00	2.20	2.40	4.20	2.60	2.83
Bohde, Matthew	ARC	5913	12	83.3%	3.70	3.60	4.10	3.80	3.60	3.70	3.75	4.00	3.40	3.50	3.70	3.20	3.70	3.58
Booker, Zsa-Zsa	COM	1001	65	38.5%	3.36	3.32	3.56	3.64	3.28	3.08	3.37	4.04	3.84	4.13	4.04	4.12	4.04	4.03
Bozin, Keith	PHY	1154	14	71.4%	3.40	3.00	3.60	3.40	3.30	3.20	3.32	3.70	3.00	2.90	3.40	3.70	3.30	3.33
Bozin, Keith	PHY	2221	9	66.7%	4.17	4.17	4.00	4.00	4.17	3.50	4.00	3.83	4.00	3.83	4.17	4.33	4.17	4.06
Bozin, Keith	PHY	2421	14	57.1%	3.75	3.50	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.63	3.69	3.88	3.63	4.00	3.88	3.75	3.63	3.79
Brinker, Ludger	COM	1103	17	82.4%	4.64	4.29	4.64	4.50	4.57	4.43	4.51	4.93	4.79	4.86	4.64	4.64	4.64	4.75
Brinker, Ludger	LLT	1213	17	82.4%	4.57	4.36	4.57	4.57	4.29	4.36	4.45	4.50	4.50	4.57	4.57	4.64	4.57	4.56
Brodermann, Caroline	COM	0075	6	83.3%	4.00	3.80	3.60	3.80	4.00	3.80	3.83	4.40	4.20	4.20	3.40	4.20	4.00	4.07
Brown, David	MCS	3503	9	88.9%	3.88	3.50	3.88	4.13	3.38	3.50	3.71	4.13	3.50	3.88	4.00	3.75	3.50	3.79
Browne, Warren	MGT	3033	17	70.6%	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.58	4.42	4.47	4.92	4.58	4.83	4.83	4.75	4.75	4.78
Bukaita, Wisam	MCS	3324	9	100.0%	3.89	4.22	4.56	4.22	4.00	4.11	4.17	4.44	4.44	4.67	4.33	4.44	4.44	4.46
Bukaita, Wisam	MCS	3863	30	96.7%	4.62	4.66	4.55	4.52	4.31	4.34	4.50	4.69	4.48	4.83	4.66	4.62	4.66	4.66
Cally, John	MCS	3663	22	45.5%	4.00	3.90	4.10	3.80	3.90	4.00	3.95	4.00	4.20	4.20	4.44	4.11	4.10	4.07
Campbell, Stephanie	EGE	1001	22	95.5%	3.90	4.00	4.10	3.81	3.67	3.62	3.85	4.10	3.95	4.24	4.00	4.00	4.24	4.09
Carter, Sharon	MCS	1414	30	83.3%	4.56	4.64	4.64	4.64	4.32	4.60	4.57	4.80	4.76	4.72	4.76	4.84	4.72	4.77

Fall 2016 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor							
Instructor Name	Subject Code	Course Number	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average	
																			Carter, Sharon
Cartwright, Christopher	MCS	1414	30	40.0%	4.67	4.75	4.75	4.67	4.33	4.58	4.63	4.92	4.75	4.58	4.67	4.58	4.67	4.67	4.69
Cartwright, Christopher	MCS	2414	29	65.5%	4.58	4.63	4.58	4.47	4.21	4.58	4.51	4.58	4.58	4.53	4.47	4.58	4.47	4.54	
Cartwright, Christopher	MCS	2523	18	66.7%	4.67	4.67	4.42	4.67	4.00	4.50	4.49	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.83	4.50	4.72	
Castelli, Patricia	MBA	6043	41	48.8%	4.80	4.60	4.65	4.70	4.55	4.60	4.65	4.85	4.80	4.85	4.80	4.85	4.85	4.83	
Castelli, Patricia	MBA	7053	14	78.6%	4.82	4.82	4.82	4.82	4.82	4.82	4.82	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.91	4.91	4.91	4.95	
Caushaj, Eralda	INT	6123	24	54.2%	4.69	4.77	4.92	4.69	4.77	4.77	4.77	4.69	4.46	4.62	4.69	4.69	4.54	4.62	
Caushaj, Eralda	INT	7223	18	55.6%	4.30	4.30	4.30	4.20	4.00	4.30	4.23	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.30	4.20	4.20	4.28	
Caushaj, Eralda	INT	7593	19	68.4%	4.23	4.00	4.15	4.15	4.08	4.15	4.13	4.15	3.92	4.31	4.54	4.23	4.31	4.24	
Chamlagain, Bhim	PHY	2431	48	77.1%	3.46	3.68	3.68	3.57	3.43	3.51	3.55	3.78	2.73	2.97	3.31	3.22	3.11	3.16	
Chan, Raymond	BIO	1153	24	83.3%	4.25	4.35	4.35	4.40	4.40	4.25	4.33	4.55	4.45	4.55	4.55	4.50	4.50	4.52	
Chase, Richard	EEE	3231	21	47.6%	4.10	4.00	4.20	4.10	4.20	4.20	4.13	4.40	4.20	4.30	4.10	4.30	4.10	4.23	
Chase, Richard	EEE	3233	22	31.8%	4.00	4.14	4.00	4.00	3.86	3.86	3.98	4.43	4.14	4.14	4.29	4.14	4.14	4.21	
Chase, Richard	EEE	5264	14	64.3%	4.56	4.33	4.56	4.44	4.56	4.56	4.50	4.33	4.22	4.56	4.56	4.56	4.33	4.43	
Chidester, Jacob	ARC	3823	8	25.0%	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.83	5.00	4.50	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	
Chung, Chan-Jin	MCS	5403	4	50.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	
Chung, Chan-Jin	MCS	6823	2	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
Clees, Patricia	COM	2113	6	50.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	
Cloud, Michael	EEE	2214	19	57.9%	5.00	4.82	4.82	4.73	4.82	4.73	4.82	5.00	4.91	4.82	5.00	4.82	5.00	4.92	
Cloud, Michael	EEE	3124	25	36.0%	4.67	4.56	4.56	4.44	4.44	4.56	4.54	4.56	4.67	4.56	4.56	4.56	4.67	4.59	
Cloud, Michael	EEE	3414	20	35.0%	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.57	5.00	5.00	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.90	
Cole, Matthew	HRM	3023	30	63.3%	4.53	4.58	4.47	4.53	4.21	4.37	4.45	4.79	4.53	4.58	4.58	4.58	4.63	4.61	
Cole, Matthew	MBA	5013	7	42.9%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.72	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	
Cole, Matthew	MBA	6013	5	60.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.56	
Colon, Jason	ARC	6833	15	46.7%	4.43	4.29	4.86	4.29	4.43	4.71	4.50	5.00	4.71	4.43	4.43	4.86	4.57	4.67	
Cook, Kenneth	EEE	1001	8	87.5%	3.71	4.00	4.43	3.43	4.43	4.29	4.05	4.86	4.00	4.57	4.57	4.71	4.43	4.52	
Cook, Kenneth	TEE	3103	12	91.7%	5.00	4.91	4.91	4.82	5.00	5.00	4.94	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
Cook, Kenneth	TIE	4115	13	69.2%	4.75	4.88	4.78	4.78	4.88	4.89	4.83	4.78	4.89	4.67	4.78	4.78	4.67	4.76	
Cook, Kenneth	TME	5123	7	100.0%	4.71	4.71	4.86	4.71	4.57	4.86	4.74	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
Cooper, Stuart	ATD	2816	8	87.5%	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.86	4.83	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	
Coratti, Anthony	LDR	2001	15	60.0%	3.44	4.33	4.22	3.89	3.78	3.89	3.93	4.00	4.11	4.11	4.44	4.00	4.11	4.13	
Corp, Scott	ESL	0142	8	100.0%	5.00	4.88	5.00	4.88	5.00	5.00	4.96	4.88	5.00	5.00	4.88	5.00	5.00	4.96	
Corp, Scott	ESL	0242	9	66.7%	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.75	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.75	
Corp, Scott	ESL	0342	7	71.4%	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.70	
Coval, Brad	MCS	1113	7	42.9%	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.72	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	
Coval, Brad	MCS	1123	16	31.3%	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.70	4.40	4.60	4.40	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.63	
Cowles, Paul	ATD	2816	8	87.5%	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	
Coy, Steven	ART	2053	2	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	
Coy, Steven	ART	3213	11	81.8%	4.22	4.22	4.22	4.22	4.00	4.11	4.17	4.00	4.22	4.11	4.33	4.44	4.11	4.20	
Coy, Steven	ART	6513	3	66.7%	3.00	2.50	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.50	3.17	3.50	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.50	3.50	
Craig, Jack	ARI	3113	6	83.3%	4.40	4.80	4.40	4.00	4.60	4.40	4.43	5.00	4.60	4.60	4.20	5.00	5.00	4.73	
Craig, Jack	ART	2113	12	66.7%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.71	4.88	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.77	
Craigo, Brian	COM	1001	18	55.6%	3.80	3.70	4.00	4.22	4.10	3.70	3.91	4.40	4.10	4.10	4.20	4.40	4.20	4.23	
Craigo, Brian	LDR	2001	20	65.0%	3.67	3.92	3.85	3.77	3.54	3.62	3.73	4.23	3.69	4.23	4.15	4.54	4.38	4.21	
Craigo, Brian	LDR	3000	217	57.6%	3.73	3.58	3.71	3.66	3.43	3.29	3.56	3.95	3.91	3.87	3.92	3.96	3.95	3.92	
Crosbie, Gary	EGE	1023	23	100.0%	4.43	4.35	4.52	4.61	4.26	4.43	4.43	4.65	4.30	4.39	4.57	4.57	4.35	4.47	
Crowley, Joseph	ATD	2816	8	87.5%	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	
Crum, Lilian	ART	2523	13	84.6%	4.55	4.40	4.55	4.64	4.64	4.73	4.59	5.00	4.82	4.82	4.73	5.00	4.82	4.86	
Crum, Lilian	ART	4514	8	75.0%	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.17	4.50	4.50	4.47	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	
Crum, Lilian	ART	4612	11	54.5%	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.17	4.50	4.33	4.39	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.50	5.00	4.83	4.83	
Crutcher, Kenneth	ARC	1213	14	85.7%	3.33	3.58	3.75	3.50	4.17	4.00	3.72	4.45	3.33	4.00	3.50	3.92	3.83	3.80	

Fall 2016 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Instructor Name	Subject Code	Course Number	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
Cuper, Jerry	TIE	2093	6	83.3%	4.20	4.40	4.60	4.20	4.00	4.20	4.27	4.00	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.20	4.40	4.27
Cuper, Jerry	TME	1023	23	69.6%	4.69	4.63	4.69	4.63	4.50	4.69	4.64	4.75	4.63	4.69	4.81	4.81	4.88	4.76
Cuper, Jerry	TME	4413	14	100.0%	4.64	4.57	4.71	4.64	4.57	4.64	4.63	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.79	4.71	4.73
Dabkowski, Michael	MCS	1424	17	64.7%	4.27	4.20	4.27	4.45	4.36	4.09	4.27	4.82	4.64	4.73	4.73	4.82	4.80	4.74
Dabkowski, Michael	MCS	2414	25	72.0%	4.50	4.33	4.28	4.33	4.11	4.39	4.32	4.89	4.44	4.56	4.56	4.83	4.50	4.63
Dabkowski, Michael	MCS	3723	11	90.9%	4.80	4.50	4.70	4.40	4.70	4.50	4.60	4.90	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.90	4.70	4.78
Danielson-Francois, Serge	COM	2103	17	76.5%	4.08	4.08	4.15	4.15	3.92	3.85	4.04	4.54	4.31	4.62	4.38	4.54	4.38	4.46
Daod, Nadera	MCS	1003	14	42.9%	3.67	3.50	3.67	3.00	2.83	3.33	3.33	3.67	2.67	2.67	3.00	3.17	3.00	3.03
Dawson, Andrew	ATD	1914	10	50.0%	4.20	4.00	4.40	4.00	4.40	4.40	4.23	4.00	3.60	3.80	4.00	3.60	4.00	3.83
Dawson, Andrew	ATD	2816	8	100.0%	4.25	4.57	4.38	4.50	4.38	4.13	4.33	4.38	4.25	4.50	4.38	4.25	4.50	4.38
Dawson, Andrew	IDD	1114	7	57.1%	4.75	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.46	5.00	4.75	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.92
Dawson, Andrew	IDD	2216	8	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	3.50	4.00	3.50	4.00	4.00
Dean, Kristen	ARC	2116	15	66.7%	4.10	3.90	4.20	3.60	4.30	4.10	4.03	4.30	4.10	4.40	3.90	4.10	4.40	4.20
Dean, Kristen	ARC	2813	16	68.8%	4.36	4.18	4.36	4.09	4.36	4.09	4.24	4.36	4.27	4.09	4.18	4.18	4.18	4.21
Dean, Walter	CHM	1221	19	73.7%	4.21	4.29	4.21	4.64	4.14	3.93	4.24	4.50	3.93	4.00	4.21	4.21	3.86	4.12
Dechard, Elise	ARC	2116	14	57.1%	3.71	4.20	4.29	3.43	4.71	4.14	4.06	4.38	4.25	4.50	3.63	3.88	4.25	4.15
Delogu, Franco	PSY	1213	20	60.0%	3.58	3.58	4.25	4.08	3.92	3.67	3.85	4.83	3.92	4.42	4.25	4.67	4.25	4.39
Delogu, Franco	PSY	2113	8	37.5%	3.33	4.00	3.67	3.67	4.33	4.00	3.83	4.33	3.67	4.00	4.00	4.67	4.00	4.11
Delogu, Franco	PSY	3213	7	71.4%	4.20	4.40	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.33	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.40	4.57
Delogu, Franco	PSY	4912	2	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
DeRose, Giuseppe	EME	5433	31	93.5%	4.83	4.83	4.79	4.72	4.69	4.83	4.78	4.83	4.76	4.79	4.79	4.79	4.83	4.80
Detmar, Benjamin	SSC	2423	39	56.4%	4.27	4.23	4.14	4.27	4.23	4.23	4.23	4.77	4.68	4.43	4.45	4.68	4.55	4.59
Dewhirst, Hannah	ARC	2116	7	42.9%	4.00	4.00	4.33	3.00	3.67	3.67	3.78	3.67	3.33	3.00	2.67	3.33	3.33	3.22
DiLaura, Lenard	ARI	4223	15	100.0%	4.80	4.67	4.80	4.80	4.73	4.73	4.76	4.87	4.87	4.87	4.87	4.87	4.93	4.88
DiLaura, Lenard	ARI	4922	9	88.9%	4.63	4.13	4.50	4.63	4.50	4.25	4.44	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88
Dilaura, Vicki	ARC	6903	3	100.0%	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.33	5.00	4.67	4.78	4.33	4.67	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.33	4.60
Dilaura, Vicki	ART	1113	15	93.3%	4.36	4.21	4.50	4.36	4.57	4.36	4.39	4.36	4.00	4.29	4.36	4.29	4.29	4.26
Dillard, Terrance	INT	7263	18	83.3%	4.87	4.73	4.87	4.87	4.80	4.87	4.83	4.80	4.73	4.80	4.87	4.87	4.73	4.80
Dillard, Terrance	MCS	5993	4	75.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.72	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33
Dillon, Colleen	COM	2103	34	64.7%	4.45	4.32	4.18	4.55	4.36	4.41	4.38	4.59	4.55	4.64	4.59	4.59	4.55	4.58
Diorka, Sandra	ECE	5343	22	81.8%	4.44	4.28	4.39	4.33	4.17	4.44	4.34	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.61	4.44	4.50	4.48
Dourra, Hussein	EEE	5534	5	100.0%	4.40	4.60	4.40	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.60	4.20	4.20	4.60	4.20	4.37
Drommi, Antonio	MBA	7063	26	61.5%	4.69	4.56	4.69	4.81	4.63	4.63	4.67	4.81	4.69	4.75	4.81	4.56	4.75	4.73
Drommi, Antonio	MGT	3103	10	40.0%	3.75	4.00	4.00	4.50	3.75	3.75	3.96	3.75	3.50	3.50	3.75	3.50	3.50	3.58
Duranceau, Claudia	LDR	2001	22	50.0%	4.30	4.00	4.30	4.56	4.33	3.40	4.03	4.60	4.50	4.60	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.63
Duranceau, Claudia	LDR	4000	56	51.8%	3.62	3.61	3.61	3.69	3.36	3.03	3.49	3.93	3.89	4.00	3.96	4.04	3.93	3.97
Duval, Stephanie	ART	1133	7	100.0%	4.43	4.29	4.43	4.00	4.43	4.43	4.33	4.43	4.29	4.71	4.14	4.43	4.43	4.40
Eash, Matthew	ATD	3716	10	40.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.46	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.42
Edward, Pauline	ESL	0222	7	100.0%	4.57	4.71	4.71	4.57	4.71	4.71	4.67	4.71	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.79
El-Bathy, Mohammed	MCS	4663	12	91.7%	4.00	3.82	3.36	3.36	3.82	3.73	3.68	3.70	3.64	3.82	3.55	3.82	3.60	3.65
El-Bathy, Mohammed	MCS	5703	5	80.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
El-Essawi, Mohamed	ECE	6733	17	88.2%	4.40	4.53	4.67	4.47	4.53	4.53	4.52	4.47	4.47	4.47	4.47	4.47	4.47	4.47
English, Derek	ATD	1914	10	50.0%	4.20	4.20	4.60	4.20	4.60	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.00	4.40	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.33
English, Derek	ATD	2816	8	87.5%	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.86	4.57	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.86	4.74
English, Derek	IDD	1114	7	42.9%	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.61	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
English, Derek	IDD	2216	8	75.0%	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.97	5.00	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.92
Eren, Serhat	EIE	6673	10	90.0%	4.11	4.22	4.33	4.56	4.11	4.33	4.28	4.44	4.44	4.44	4.67	4.22	4.44	4.44
Evans Mach, Patrick	INT	6143	17	94.1%	4.88	4.88	4.81	4.81	4.81	4.88	4.84	4.81	4.88	4.88	4.81	4.94	4.88	4.86
Evans, Bryce	MCS	2193	8	75.0%	4.33	4.33	4.00	3.17	4.33	4.17	4.06	4.67	4.50	4.83	4.33	4.83	4.83	4.67
Evans, Bryce	MCS	3563	20	65.0%	4.31	4.45	4.54	4.38	4.58	4.54	4.46	4.62	4.69	4.85	4.54	4.85	4.85	4.73
Evans, Karen	MCO	3633	9	77.8%	4.00	4.14	4.29	4.00	4.29	4.43	4.19	4.43	4.29	4.43	4.00	4.43	4.29	4.31

Fall 2016 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Instructor Name	Subject Code	Course Number	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
Evans, Karen	SSC	2423	13	46.2%	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.44	4.17	4.00	4.17	4.17	4.17	4.00	4.11
Fadel-Bazzi, Nadia	MGT	2113	17	64.7%	4.73	4.64	4.73	4.73	4.55	4.64	4.67	4.73	4.64	4.82	4.73	4.64	4.64	4.70
Faoro, Daniel	ARC	2513	12	58.3%	3.57	3.57	3.43	3.29	3.29	3.43	3.43	3.71	3.57	3.29	3.71	3.57	3.43	3.55
Faoro, Daniel	ARC	4126	13	61.5%	3.63	4.25	4.25	3.75	4.25	4.25	4.06	4.75	4.00	4.63	4.38	4.63	4.38	4.46
Faoro, Daniel	ARC	4543	12	66.7%	4.00	4.25	4.13	4.00	3.13	4.13	3.94	4.63	4.00	3.88	4.13	3.75	4.00	4.06
Farhat, Hussein	PHY	2231	15	73.3%	4.36	3.82	4.09	4.00	3.45	3.73	3.91	4.45	4.09	4.00	3.91	4.27	3.91	4.11
Farlow, Mark	ARC	5814	8	87.5%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.71	4.86	5.00	4.93	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Farrehi, Khashayar	MCS	1142	19	63.2%	4.33	4.58	4.67	4.42	4.17	4.00	4.36	4.42	4.08	4.50	4.58	4.67	4.33	4.43
Farrehi, Khashayar	MCS	3633	18	44.4%	4.43	4.50	4.43	4.29	4.29	4.14	4.34	4.57	4.29	4.71	4.14	4.29	4.43	4.40
Faulkner, Ty	COM	1001	69	31.9%	3.82	3.86	4.14	3.95	3.86	3.68	3.88	4.59	4.36	4.73	4.45	4.77	4.45	4.56
Faulkner, Ty	LDR	2001	27	59.3%	4.44	4.44	4.38	4.50	3.88	4.13	4.29	4.69	4.63	4.69	4.69	4.88	4.69	4.71
Fawaz, Remie	CHM	1154	15	40.0%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.17	3.83	4.00	4.17	4.67	4.17	4.17	4.17	3.83	3.83	4.14
Fawcett, David	MCS	1142	19	63.2%	3.83	3.50	4.00	3.67	3.67	3.50	3.69	4.42	3.58	4.08	4.17	3.91	3.58	3.95
Fawcett, David	MCS	2514	16	56.3%	3.89	3.33	3.89	4.00	4.11	4.22	3.91	4.33	4.56	4.67	4.56	4.33	4.56	4.50
Fawcett, David	MCS	2534	12	58.3%	4.71	4.57	4.57	4.86	4.71	4.57	4.67	5.00	4.86	4.71	4.43	4.57	4.71	4.71
Fawcett, David	MCS	5803	10	80.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.25	4.38	4.48	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.71
Fazzalare, Christopher	EAE	1081	24	66.7%	4.13	4.44	4.19	4.06	3.56	3.81	4.03	4.44	4.19	4.44	4.19	4.44	4.44	4.35
Feng, Yi	BIO	1221	14	57.1%	4.13	4.00	3.75	3.88	4.00	3.88	3.94	4.13	3.75	4.00	4.13	3.88	3.88	3.96
Fernandez, Vernon	EGE	1102	17	64.7%	3.82	3.82	3.91	3.73	3.73	3.45	3.74	3.27	3.27	3.60	3.36	3.45	3.27	3.34
Fernandez, Vernon	EIE	3033	2	50.0%	3.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	1.83	4.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	4.00	2.00	2.33
Fernandez, Vernon	EME	3033	10	80.0%	3.50	3.63	3.88	3.75	3.63	3.63	3.67	3.50	3.25	3.00	3.88	3.75	3.38	3.46
Fernandez, Vernon	EME	4402	16	62.5%	3.70	3.70	3.89	3.10	3.89	3.50	3.61	3.90	3.00	3.20	3.33	3.10	3.20	3.27
Fernandez, Vernon	ERE	4113	11	81.8%	2.22	2.78	2.56	2.00	2.22	2.00	2.30	2.00	1.44	2.56	1.33	2.33	1.33	1.83
Finkelman, Louis	LLT	1213	39	59.0%	4.48	4.48	4.57	4.48	4.52	4.39	4.49	4.78	4.70	4.65	4.57	4.78	4.65	4.69
Finlay, Suzanne	COM	0075	12	83.3%	4.30	4.30	4.30	4.40	4.56	4.20	4.30	4.40	4.30	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.40	4.43
Fletcher, Robert	EGE	1001	23	87.0%	3.70	3.75	3.90	3.15	3.60	3.40	3.58	3.85	2.90	3.20	2.85	4.10	3.10	3.33
Fletcher, Robert	EGE	3003	18	72.2%	4.69	4.69	4.62	4.62	4.69	4.62	4.65	4.77	4.62	4.62	4.69	4.77	4.77	4.71
Fletcher, Robert	EME	5373	31	74.2%	4.52	4.35	4.52	4.35	4.48	4.48	4.45	4.83	4.70	4.61	4.57	4.78	4.70	4.70
Fletcher, Robert	EME	5983	25	76.0%	4.58	4.47	4.47	4.42	4.58	4.53	4.51	4.63	4.63	4.58	4.53	4.53	4.53	4.57
Flores, Anna-Maria	COM	0094	8	100.0%	4.63	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.25	4.63	4.44	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.88	4.88	4.75	4.79
Floyd, Stacha	COM	2103	36	50.0%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.39	4.17	4.28	4.31	4.39	4.28	4.28	4.28	4.28	4.28	4.30
Frenkel, Cindy	COM	1103	17	70.6%	3.58	3.83	4.33	3.91	4.42	4.25	4.07	4.67	4.17	4.50	4.33	4.83	4.42	4.49
Frenkel, Cindy	LLT	1223	13	61.5%	4.38	4.25	4.50	4.00	4.38	4.50	4.33	4.88	4.38	4.63	3.63	4.88	4.50	4.48
Fry, Jennifer	COM	2103	12	16.7%	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	5.00	4.58	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.50	5.00	4.83
Gaber, Jody	COM	1001	46	56.5%	4.00	4.15	4.04	4.08	3.77	3.81	3.97	4.38	4.31	4.31	4.27	4.50	4.35	4.35
Gaber, Jody	MCO	1003	10	90.0%	4.44	4.22	4.33	4.44	4.11	4.38	4.33	4.33	4.44	4.44	4.44	4.44	4.44	4.43
Gaber, Jody	MCO	3913	2	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Gaber, Jody	MCO	4933	2	100.0%	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.33	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Gadd, Kenneth	SSC	2303	11	9.1%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Gaffka, Douglas	ATD	4516	9	66.7%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.47	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.53
Gebara, Naji	EEM	6583	19	73.7%	4.79	4.64	4.86	4.71	4.64	4.86	4.75	4.86	4.79	4.93	4.79	4.86	4.93	4.86
Gerhart, Andrew	EGE	1001	23	60.9%	4.86	4.71	4.79	4.64	4.64	4.71	4.73	4.86	4.86	4.79	4.79	5.00	4.86	4.86
Gerhart, Andrew	EME	3123	9	66.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.83	5.00	4.97	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Gerhart, Andrew	EME	4412	16	81.3%	4.77	4.77	4.77	4.77	4.54	4.69	4.72	4.77	4.77	4.77	4.69	4.77	4.77	4.76
Ghobrial, Rimon	ATD	3716	10	40.0%	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.42	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.46
Ghoddoussi, Farhad	PHY	2213	20	55.0%	4.55	4.27	4.27	4.18	4.27	4.18	4.29	4.45	4.00	4.27	4.27	4.18	4.18	4.23
Ghoddoussi, Farhad	PHY	2221	6	66.7%	4.75	4.25	4.25	4.25	3.50	3.50	4.08	4.25	4.25	3.00	4.50	4.25	4.00	4.04
Ghoddoussi, Farhad	PHY	2421	9	33.3%	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	3.67	3.67	4.28	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.56
Ghoddoussi, Farhad	PHY	2431	17	47.1%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.38	4.25	4.00	4.10	4.50	4.63	4.75	4.63	4.86	4.63	4.65
Giese, Dieter	TAS	4133	8	62.5%	4.40	4.60	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.47	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.60	5.00	4.60	4.70
Giese, Dieter	TAS	3034	9	88.9%	4.50	4.25	4.63	4.38	4.38	4.63	4.46	4.75	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.88	4.63	4.69

Fall 2016 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Instructor Name	Subject Code	Course Number	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
Gilmore, Steven	ATD	1914	10	50.0%	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.40	4.80	4.80	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Givental, Gary	MCS	4623	7	71.4%	4.80	4.20	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.67	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.73
Gordon, Aviva	COM	1103	17	88.2%	4.20	4.13	3.80	4.40	3.80	3.93	4.04	4.33	3.93	4.47	4.07	4.47	4.47	4.29
Gould, Aaron	ATD	3716	10	40.0%	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.29	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.42
Graf, Benjamin	ATD	4414	13	53.8%	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.57	4.57	4.71	4.67	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.86	4.71	4.71	4.79
Graf, Benjamin	IDD	2213	8	50.0%	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.88	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Grant, Janice	ARC	2843	11	63.6%	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.43	4.57	4.57	4.55	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71
Grant, Leigh	COM	1103	19	52.6%	4.50	4.40	4.60	4.40	4.50	4.50	4.48	4.60	4.60	4.40	4.50	4.40	4.50	4.50
Grant, Lindsey	IDD	2216	8	62.5%	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.60	5.00	4.60	4.70	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Grayson, Alan	ART	3323	7	85.7%	4.00	4.17	4.17	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.17	4.33	4.17	4.33	4.50	4.17	4.50	4.33
Grembi, Jason	INT	5024	13	92.3%	4.67	4.67	4.75	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.71	4.67	4.67	4.75	4.75	4.67	4.75	4.71
Grembi, Jason	INT	2134	13	76.9%	4.50	4.40	4.40	4.60	4.20	4.40	4.42	4.60	4.60	4.50	4.60	4.50	4.50	4.55
Gyure, Dale	ARC	3613	37	73.0%	4.48	4.48	4.41	4.30	4.37	4.33	4.40	4.59	4.52	4.38	4.41	4.56	4.41	4.47
Gyure, Dale	ARC	4183	26	69.2%	4.39	4.39	4.28	4.11	4.00	4.39	4.26	4.72	4.56	4.50	4.18	4.50	4.56	4.50
Haam, Songho	ARC	1213	25	64.0%	4.44	4.25	4.56	4.38	4.63	4.56	4.47	4.75	4.38	4.25	4.31	4.31	4.38	4.40
Haam, Songho	ARC	2116	15	73.3%	3.82	3.73	4.00	3.36	4.09	4.09	3.85	4.09	4.00	3.55	3.55	4.00	3.82	3.83
Habba, Faris	ARC	3513	24	95.8%	4.39	4.48	4.30	4.17	4.22	4.30	4.31	4.70	4.43	4.61	4.43	4.78	4.70	4.61
Haddad, Kamel	MCS	3673	7	85.7%	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.81	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.78
Haghi-Tabrizi, Shahram	EGE	2013	42	42.9%	4.44	4.44	4.61	4.39	4.28	4.39	4.43	4.39	4.11	4.17	4.39	4.44	4.22	4.29
Haghi-Tabrizi, Shahram	EME	3013	25	68.0%	3.82	3.71	3.94	4.00	3.94	3.76	3.85	4.06	3.47	4.06	4.00	4.18	3.76	3.92
Hall Sturgis, Stephanie	COM	1103	20	65.0%	4.46	4.31	4.54	4.46	4.15	4.23	4.36	4.69	4.62	4.69	4.54	4.62	4.54	4.62
Hamana - Nettles, Souad	ESL	0422	7	100.0%	4.57	4.71	4.71	4.43	4.71	4.57	4.62	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.74
Hammond, Jason	TCE	2143	11	45.5%	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.00	4.20	4.40	4.47	5.00	4.60	4.80	4.60	5.00	5.00	4.83
Hammond, Jason	TCE	4113	8	100.0%	4.75	4.88	4.88	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.79	5.00	4.75	4.75	4.88	5.00	5.00	4.90
Hanna, Vance	ART	2113	16	75.0%	4.50	4.50	4.08	4.17	4.42	4.50	4.36	4.92	4.58	4.75	4.67	4.92	4.83	4.78
Hanzel, Andrew	ATD	3616	6	33.3%	3.50	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.58	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	4.50	3.50	3.50
Hanzel, Andrew	ATD	4516	9	55.6%	2.00	1.75	2.50	1.75	1.75	2.00	1.96	3.75	1.75	2.00	1.75	3.25	1.75	2.38
Harmala, John	ARC	5913	23	69.6%	4.06	4.25	4.31	4.00	4.19	4.31	4.19	4.53	4.13	4.40	4.20	4.40	4.53	4.37
Harmala, John	ARC	5952	8	50.0%	4.25	4.25	4.25	3.75	3.25	4.00	3.96	4.25	3.25	4.25	3.50	3.75	3.75	3.79
Hennebury, Deirdre	ARC	3623	25	60.0%	4.67	4.53	4.60	4.47	4.53	4.53	4.56	4.80	4.67	4.67	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.69
Hennebury, Deirdre	ARC	5643	10	50.0%	5.00	4.60	5.00	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.80	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.80	5.00	5.00	4.97
Henson, Susan	ECE	2103	8	87.5%	4.57	4.57	4.71	4.71	4.43	4.43	4.60	5.00	4.86	5.00	4.86	4.86	5.00	4.93
Henson, Susan	ECE	4022	24	70.8%	3.94	3.65	4.12	3.59	4.18	4.12	3.93	3.88	4.00	4.06	3.81	4.00	3.94	3.95
Henson, Susan	EGE	1001	21	71.4%	3.93	4.00	3.80	4.07	3.80	3.73	3.89	4.07	3.80	4.13	4.00	4.20	4.00	4.03
Hermez, Munther	TME	3113	21	85.7%	3.72	3.94	4.11	3.94	3.78	3.56	3.84	3.94	3.56	3.61	3.78	3.94	3.39	3.70
Herzog, Ronald	ARC	5942	9	100.0%	4.78	4.78	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.78	4.72	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.78	4.67	4.78	4.76
Heyne, David	IDD	1114	7	42.9%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Higginson, James	MBA	6053	6	50.0%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.00	4.17
Holubka, Joseph	CHM	1154	18	44.4%	4.25	4.25	3.75	4.00	4.13	3.88	4.04	4.50	4.13	4.13	4.38	4.13	4.25	4.25
Holubka, Joseph	CHM	1221	19	52.6%	4.20	3.90	4.00	4.10	4.10	4.20	4.08	4.30	4.20	4.30	4.30	4.30	4.30	4.28
Hopkins, Thomas	MCO	2003	10	70.0%	4.57	4.29	4.57	4.00	4.29	4.43	4.36	4.71	4.29	4.29	4.29	4.57	4.43	4.43
Hoskins, Jason	COM	1001	23	26.1%	4.50	4.17	4.67	4.83	4.50	4.17	4.47	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.78
Hsiao, Shih-Hui	INT	4203	23	78.3%	4.06	4.00	4.33	4.06	4.11	4.17	4.12	4.22	4.11	4.39	4.28	4.39	4.33	4.29
Hsiao, Shih-Hui	INT	7513	30	93.3%	4.29	4.36	4.32	4.32	4.29	4.25	4.30	4.18	4.14	4.21	4.14	4.29	4.11	4.18
Hua, Kun	EEE	4423	15	100.0%	4.87	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.87	4.93	4.91	4.93	4.87	4.93	4.93	4.93	5.00	4.93
Hua, Kun	EEE	5654	18	83.3%	4.67	4.73	4.60	4.67	4.60	4.60	4.64	4.67	4.67	4.80	4.73	4.67	4.67	4.70
Huacuja, Roberto	ATD	3616	6	16.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Hussein, Ghassan	MCS	0044	15	66.7%	4.60	4.40	4.70	4.20	4.60	4.78	4.53	4.80	4.60	4.70	4.70	4.60	4.80	4.70
Hussein, Ghassan	MCS	2313	12	50.0%	4.83	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.83	4.50	4.67	4.50	4.17	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.42
Islam, Muhammad	EIE	4453	10	80.0%	4.13	4.38	4.38	4.25	4.13	4.25	4.25	4.13	4.00	4.13	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.17

Fall 2016 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Instructor Name	Subject Code	Course Number	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
Jaber, Nabih	EEE	3011	20	45.0%	4.14	4.00	4.29	4.14	4.29	4.29	4.19	4.67	4.57	4.43	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.54
Jaber, Nabih	EEE	4323	7	28.6%	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.00	3.50	4.33	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Jaber, Nabih	EEE	4811	17	47.1%	3.38	3.43	3.75	3.50	3.38	3.50	3.50	4.29	4.25	4.25	4.29	4.13	4.25	4.23
Jaber, Nabih	EEE	4822	10	80.0%	3.63	3.63	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.13	3.90	3.86	3.63	3.75	4.00	4.00	3.88	3.86
Jaber, Nabih	EEE	4831	3	33.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Jaber, Nabih	EEE	4842	4	25.0%	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
Jackson, Alanna	COM	1103	33	69.7%	4.09	4.09	4.04	4.04	3.83	3.91	4.00	4.22	4.04	4.04	4.09	4.30	4.17	4.14
Jadoun, Naela	MCS	0044	14	42.9%	3.83	3.83	3.83	4.17	3.50	3.83	3.83	3.83	3.83	4.00	3.83	3.83	4.00	3.89
Jadoun, Naela	MCS	1224	19	31.6%	4.33	3.67	4.33	4.00	4.17	4.17	4.11	4.33	3.83	4.50	4.00	4.33	4.00	4.17
Jammoussi, Hassene	EME	4603	9	88.9%	4.13	4.13	4.38	4.38	4.25	4.00	4.21	4.50	4.50	4.63	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.44
Jammoussi, Hassene	EME	5253	31	93.5%	4.21	4.25	4.41	4.31	4.17	4.00	4.22	4.14	4.10	4.24	4.14	4.10	4.11	4.14
Jausen, Paul	LLT	1223	38	92.1%	4.54	4.37	4.37	4.34	4.29	4.31	4.37	4.69	4.57	4.63	4.51	4.74	4.66	4.63
Jausen, Paul	LLT	4533	19	94.7%	4.78	4.83	4.83	4.61	4.78	4.83	4.78	5.00	4.89	4.89	4.78	4.94	4.94	4.91
Jawad, Badih	EME	6283	20	70.0%	4.21	4.14	4.14	4.14	4.07	4.21	4.15	4.21	4.07	4.14	4.07	4.21	4.21	4.15
Jawad-Abushaar, Ragette	ESL	0332	2	100.0%	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.50	3.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.25
Jawad-Abushaar, Ragette	ESL	0242	6	100.0%	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.94	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.97
Jawad-Abushaar, Ragette	ESL	0342	6	100.0%	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.58	4.67	4.50	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.64
Jawad-Abushaar, Ragette	ESL	0322	5	100.0%	4.80	4.80	5.00	4.80	5.00	5.00	4.90	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Jing, Wuming	EME	5213	30	76.7%	4.22	4.04	4.26	4.35	4.26	4.04	4.20	3.96	3.83	4.30	4.04	4.30	4.00	4.07
Jing, Wuming	ERE	3014	5	60.0%	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.28	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33
Jing, Wuming	ERE	4014	11	72.7%	3.88	4.25	4.38	4.13	4.13	4.38	4.19	4.50	4.13	4.50	4.00	4.63	4.50	4.38
Johnston, Richard	EEE	3422	17	47.1%	4.75	4.75	4.63	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.60	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.94
Johnston, Richard	EEE	3511	3	66.7%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Johnston, Richard	EEE	3513	8	62.5%	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80
Jolly, Katherine	EGE	1001	22	77.3%	4.35	4.18	4.12	4.12	4.24	4.12	4.19	4.41	4.53	4.53	4.41	4.59	4.53	4.50
Jolly, Katherine	LDR	2001	18	72.2%	3.69	3.69	3.92	4.15	4.00	3.62	3.85	3.73	3.55	3.18	3.73	3.18	3.36	3.45
Jones, Aaron	ARC	2813	16	68.8%	4.73	4.91	4.91	4.73	4.91	4.91	4.85	5.00	4.82	4.91	4.91	5.00	5.00	4.94
Jones-Williams, Tawanesha	TCE	4093	9	66.7%	4.33	4.50	4.83	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.53	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.75
Jones-Williams, Tawanesha	TCE	4213	5	60.0%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.56
Jovanovic, Julia	ARC	4443	14	78.6%	4.45	4.27	4.36	4.36	4.27	4.27	4.33	4.64	4.55	4.73	4.55	4.82	4.55	4.64
Judnic, Victor	ECE	5233	25	76.0%	4.79	4.79	4.95	4.74	4.89	4.74	4.82	4.95	4.89	4.95	4.95	4.95	4.89	4.93
Kana, Ayman	MCS	2313	13	15.4%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Kana, Ayman	MCS	1074	21	38.1%	4.63	4.38	4.75	4.50	4.63	4.63	4.58	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75
Kana, Ayman	PHY	2213	14	28.6%	5.00	4.25	5.00	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.75	5.00	4.75	4.88
Kangas, Daniel	ATD	1914	10	50.0%	4.20	4.20	4.80	4.40	4.80	4.60	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.60	4.93
Kao, Vivian	COM	0094	10	60.0%	3.83	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.17	3.67	3.94	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.20	4.17	4.33	4.25
Kao, Vivian	COM	1103	12	75.0%	4.67	4.56	4.78	3.89	4.22	4.56	4.44	5.00	4.33	4.78	4.00	4.89	4.67	4.61
Karatas, Aslihan	ECE	4263	14	100.0%	4.14	3.85	4.14	3.79	3.71	4.00	3.95	3.93	4.00	3.93	3.79	3.93	3.93	3.92
Karatas, Aslihan	ECE	5113	25	96.0%	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.63	4.75	4.75	4.77	4.79	4.71	4.71	4.50	4.67	4.63	4.67
Karatas, Aslihan	ECE	5223	8	100.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.63	4.63	4.50	4.63	4.25	4.38	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.54
Karian, Harutun	CHM	1154	14	71.4%	3.50	3.80	3.80	4.00	3.60	3.70	3.73	3.70	2.90	2.70	3.60	3.50	2.90	3.22
Karian, Harutun	CHM	1221	18	72.2%	4.08	3.69	3.77	4.00	4.08	3.92	3.92	3.23	3.08	3.85	3.69	3.46	3.15	3.41
Karim, Ahsanul	EIE	4653	8	100.0%	4.75	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.38	4.40	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.13	4.25	4.63	4.46
Kelly, Erin	ARC	4116	11	90.9%	3.10	3.00	3.00	3.10	3.60	3.10	3.15	4.40	4.00	4.00	3.80	4.00	3.70	3.98
Kenyon, Scott	EEE	3221	14	57.1%	4.13	4.25	4.25	4.38	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.63	4.38	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.13	4.50
Kenyon, Scott	EEE	3223	12	75.0%	4.22	4.22	4.22	4.22	4.11	4.22	4.20	4.67	4.67	4.78	4.44	4.78	4.44	4.63
Kerns, James	EGE	1001	18	77.8%	4.21	4.21	4.21	4.21	4.07	4.00	4.15	4.21	4.00	4.21	4.14	4.07	4.14	4.13
Kerns, James	EME	3214	15	93.3%	4.08	3.50	4.00	4.14	4.07	3.86	3.92	4.57	4.50	4.79	4.71	4.71	4.43	4.62
Kerns, James	EME	4252	13	84.6%	3.73	4.20	3.82	4.09	4.09	4.18	3.99	4.73	4.73	4.64	4.64	4.64	4.73	4.68
Keslacy, Elizabeth	ARC	5622	5	100.0%	5.00	4.80	4.80	4.60	5.00	5.00	4.87	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	5.00	4.80	4.83

Fall 2016 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Instructor Name	Subject Code	Course Number	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
Lobbestael, Adam	ECE	6423	4	50.0%	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.42	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.67
Lobbestael, Adam	EGE	1001	23	39.1%	4.22	3.89	3.89	4.33	3.89	3.78	4.00	4.22	4.11	4.44	3.89	4.22	4.22	4.19
Lowe, Gary	EEE	4514	11	36.4%	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.25	4.00	4.46	5.00	4.75	4.75	5.00	4.75	4.50	4.79
Lowry, Pamela	MCS	2124	10	70.0%	4.43	4.29	4.43	4.43	4.29	4.57	4.40	4.29	4.43	4.29	4.43	4.43	4.57	4.40
Lowry, Pamela	MET	6243	2	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Mack, April	COM	5963	7	71.4%	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.60	4.40	4.60	5.00	5.00	4.77
Madden, William	CHM	1154	34	58.8%	4.45	4.30	4.30	4.30	4.30	4.25	4.32	4.60	4.40	4.40	4.45	4.55	4.45	4.48
Madden, William	CHM	1213	31	58.1%	4.22	4.06	4.33	4.44	3.94	4.22	4.20	4.72	4.33	4.28	4.44	4.50	4.11	4.40
Maiseloff, Stephen	COM	1001	63	44.4%	4.04	4.04	4.07	4.18	3.82	3.93	4.01	4.39	4.29	4.57	4.32	4.61	4.54	4.45
Makkawy, Abdel	ARC	4543	12	58.3%	3.43	3.57	3.71	3.86	3.57	3.43	3.60	4.29	4.29	3.86	4.29	4.29	4.00	4.17
Makki, Imad	EME	5253	29	65.5%	4.42	4.26	4.21	4.32	4.26	4.21	4.28	4.74	4.47	4.26	4.53	4.53	4.37	4.48
Makki, Imad	EME	6623	22	95.5%	4.29	4.48	4.29	4.33	4.14	4.19	4.29	4.57	4.38	4.45	4.43	4.38	4.48	4.45
Malik, Hafiz	EEE	5274	9	33.3%	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.61	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.83
Marek, Stanley	EGE	3003	32	62.5%	4.50	4.45	4.40	4.35	4.45	4.40	4.43	4.65	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.55	4.65	4.61
Marek, Stanley	EME	5143	27	70.4%	4.74	4.74	4.58	4.74	4.63	4.63	4.68	4.79	4.68	4.63	4.68	4.74	4.79	4.72
Martin, Jordan	ARC	5742	23	56.5%	4.15	4.15	4.31	4.38	4.00	4.08	4.18	4.46	4.23	4.46	4.46	4.46	4.38	4.41
Martz, Patricia	COM	2103	19	57.9%	4.36	4.36	4.36	4.00	4.27	4.18	4.26	4.64	4.27	4.09	4.18	4.18	4.00	4.23
Martz, Patricia	COM	6443	7	57.1%	3.50	3.75	3.50	3.75	4.00	4.00	3.75	4.75	4.25	4.25	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.38
Marx, Thomas	MBA	6023	21	42.9%	4.89	4.89	4.78	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.87	5.00	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.91
Marx, Thomas	MBA	6043	5	60.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Massey, Katherine	BIO	1221	23	47.8%	3.45	4.00	4.36	4.00	4.00	3.82	3.94	4.36	3.64	4.00	4.27	4.36	4.27	4.15
McCrorry, Derine	MKT	3063	12	50.0%	5.00	4.83	5.00	4.83	4.33	4.67	4.78	5.00	4.83	5.00	4.83	5.00	4.83	4.92
McKale, Dennis	ARC	3423	10	90.0%	2.89	2.67	2.56	2.56	2.11	1.89	2.44	4.44	4.44	4.22	4.33	4.11	4.33	4.31
McMillan, Andrew	IDD	3316	6	50.0%	3.33	4.33	3.67	3.67	3.67	4.00	3.78	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.83
McMillan, Andrew	IDD	4516	3	66.7%	4.00	2.50	3.00	4.00	3.50	2.50	3.25	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.75
Means, Janice	ARC	3423	29	82.8%	2.75	3.46	3.00	2.63	2.79	2.67	2.88	3.92	2.29	2.33	2.42	3.33	2.38	2.78
Meyer, Eric	BME	3002	10	40.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.54
Meyer, Eric	BME	3301	21	57.1%	4.33	4.33	4.36	3.50	4.00	4.17	4.10	4.50	4.25	4.25	3.50	4.42	4.25	4.19
Meyer, Eric	BME	3303	26	57.7%	4.33	3.93	4.20	4.20	4.33	4.27	4.21	4.13	4.00	4.00	4.13	4.20	4.27	4.12
Meyer, Eric	EGE	1001	23	47.8%	4.09	4.09	4.09	4.09	4.09	4.09	4.09	4.27	4.09	4.18	4.27	3.73	4.00	4.09
Michaels, James	MCS	2423	28	60.7%	4.47	4.41	4.53	4.53	4.41	4.41	4.46	4.59	4.65	4.53	4.53	4.53	4.65	4.58
Michaels, James	MCS	1074	23	39.1%	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.56	4.56	4.57	4.67	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.76
Mikalauskas, Christopher	IDD	3316	6	50.0%	3.67	4.33	4.00	3.67	3.67	4.00	3.89	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.83
Mikalauskas, Christopher	IDD	4516	3	66.7%	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	2.50	3.25	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.67
Mills, Hans	MCS	1643	44	59.1%	4.73	4.62	4.62	4.73	4.62	4.54	4.64	4.77	4.73	4.81	4.73	4.88	4.77	4.78
Mirza, Megan	ESL	0432	6	50.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.61	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
Mohammad, Saima	ART	3413	15	73.3%	3.82	3.80	3.82	3.73	3.82	3.82	3.80	3.91	4.00	4.27	4.18	4.27	4.09	4.12
Molloy, Sara	PSY	1213	18	66.7%	4.33	4.25	4.17	4.33	4.08	4.17	4.22	4.33	4.42	4.50	4.33	4.33	4.17	4.35
Molloy, Sara	PSY	3323	19	57.9%	4.27	4.36	4.36	4.09	4.09	4.18	4.23	4.09	4.27	4.18	4.27	4.27	4.55	4.27
Moore, Dorian	ARC	4116	10	80.0%	4.13	3.88	4.13	3.75	3.88	3.88	3.94	4.63	4.25	4.25	4.38	4.13	4.25	4.31
Morano, Heidi	EGE	2123	29	79.3%	4.26	4.36	4.17	4.17	4.43	4.09	4.25	4.43	4.39	4.39	4.35	4.39	4.39	4.39
Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	PSY	2613	11	36.4%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	4.96	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	PSY	3623	20	75.0%	4.40	4.33	4.40	4.53	4.40	4.47	4.42	4.53	4.47	4.43	4.60	4.47	4.53	4.51
Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	PSY	3713	19	68.4%	4.46	4.38	4.46	4.46	4.38	4.46	4.44	4.54	4.46	4.54	4.46	4.46	4.46	4.49
Morris, John	MCS	0054	51	60.8%	4.39	4.39	4.35	4.35	4.35	4.19	4.34	4.30	4.32	4.26	4.33	4.13	4.29	4.25
Morrisette, Jeffery	BIO	3303	17	76.5%	4.23	4.08	4.31	3.92	4.00	4.15	4.12	4.23	4.08	4.31	4.23	4.23	4.23	4.22
Morrisette, Jeffery	BIO	4912	4	100.0%	3.75	4.25	4.25	4.00	4.25	4.25	4.13	4.00	4.00	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.17
Moschelli, George	PHY	2423	15	60.0%	4.56	4.56	4.56	4.56	3.89	4.44	4.43	4.89	4.78	4.56	4.44	4.89	4.78	4.72
Moschelli, George	PHY	4763	2	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
Moschelli, George	PSC	1161	5	60.0%	4.00	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.54	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.61

Fall 2016 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Instructor Name	Subject Code	Course Number	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
Moyer, Daniel	COM	0094	6	16.7%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
Moyer, Daniel	COM	1103	56	69.6%	4.13	4.26	4.38	4.10	4.31	4.23	4.24	4.69	4.41	4.44	4.33	4.59	4.46	4.49
Mularoni, Christopher	EGE	2233	50	98.0%	4.00	4.10	4.18	3.90	4.02	3.94	4.02	4.41	3.94	4.41	4.12	4.39	4.22	4.25
Mularoni, Christopher	EGE	3361	22	90.9%	4.20	4.10	4.20	4.10	3.85	3.80	4.04	4.80	4.45	4.75	4.65	4.85	4.60	4.68
Mulder, Daniel	SSC	2303	45	55.6%	4.24	4.40	4.32	4.44	4.16	4.32	4.31	4.68	4.16	4.04	4.40	4.48	4.24	4.33
Mullin, Thomas	EGE	3012	39	66.7%	3.69	3.85	4.04	3.81	3.58	3.54	3.74	4.31	3.65	4.24	4.27	4.00	3.88	4.05
Mullin, Thomas	EIE	2012	5	60.0%	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Mullin, Thomas	EME	2012	77	67.5%	4.47	4.39	4.63	4.59	4.41	4.51	4.50	4.73	4.53	4.69	4.65	4.55	4.59	4.62
Murray, Neil	EIE	3023	2	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.50	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Murray, Neil	EME	3023	21	71.4%	4.60	4.53	4.60	4.60	4.27	4.27	4.48	4.60	4.67	4.60	4.67	4.67	4.60	4.63
Mynderse, James	EME	3214	15	80.0%	4.17	4.25	4.25	4.08	4.25	4.17	4.19	4.75	4.17	3.83	4.17	4.17	4.08	4.19
Mynderse, James	MSE	5183	14	57.1%	4.75	4.63	4.75	4.63	4.63	4.75	4.69	4.75	4.75	4.88	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.77
Nagara, Keith	ATD	1914	10	40.0%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.71
Nagara, Keith	ATD	2832	7	85.7%	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.58	4.50	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.80	4.50	4.53
Nagara, Keith	ATD	4513	12	41.7%	4.00	4.20	4.20	3.80	3.80	4.00	4.00	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.40	4.20	4.40	4.43
Nagara, Keith	IDD	1113	19	52.6%	3.90	4.22	4.20	3.70	4.70	4.50	4.20	4.50	3.90	4.50	3.90	4.30	4.20	4.22
Nagara, Keith	IDD	1114	7	42.9%	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.56	4.67	4.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.56
Nagara, Keith	IDD	4516	4	25.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Nagara, Keith	IDD	4526	2	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Nagara, Keith	LDR	2001	15	46.7%	3.86	3.43	3.57	3.57	3.57	4.00	3.67	4.29	4.00	4.29	3.86	4.14	4.29	4.14
Najib, Tarik	ECE	5283	16	93.8%	3.13	3.33	3.40	2.80	3.20	3.27	3.19	3.53	2.67	3.47	2.93	3.60	2.93	3.19
Nashlen, Thomas	ARC	4126	13	61.5%	4.13	4.25	4.13	4.25	3.88	4.25	4.15	4.25	4.38	4.63	4.63	4.38	4.38	4.44
Nasir, Mansoor	BME	3223	10	30.0%	2.33	3.33	2.67	2.67	2.33	3.33	2.78	5.00	2.33	3.33	3.00	3.67	2.67	3.33
Nasir, Mansoor	BME	4013	18	38.9%	4.00	4.00	4.29	4.14	4.00	4.29	4.12	4.57	4.43	4.71	4.71	4.57	4.71	4.62
Nasir, Mansoor	BME	5093	6	66.7%	3.50	4.00	4.00	4.25	4.00	4.00	3.96	4.50	4.25	4.75	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.42
Nasir, Mansoor	EME	3223	4	50.0%	3.50	4.00	3.50	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.83	4.50	4.00	4.50	2.50	4.50	3.50	3.92
Nasir, Mansoor	PSC	3223	4	75.0%	3.67	4.00	3.67	3.33	3.33	3.67	3.61	4.00	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.72
Nasser, Mohamed	EME	4143	34	52.9%	4.22	4.17	4.17	4.11	4.28	4.17	4.19	4.33	4.28	4.28	4.17	4.39	4.33	4.30
Nasser, Mohamed	EMS	7103	11	72.7%	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.25	4.75	4.50	4.56	4.75	4.88	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.73
Nation, Christopher	LDR	4000	48	75.0%	4.31	4.19	4.22	4.42	4.22	3.83	4.20	4.71	4.69	4.72	4.67	4.74	4.74	4.70
Neary, John	INT	4303	4	100.0%	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.42	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.63
Nelson, Patrick	MCS	0054	51	58.8%	3.77	3.60	3.53	3.55	3.67	3.43	3.58	3.83	3.67	3.57	3.73	3.43	3.50	3.61
Nelson, Patrick	MCS	2423	30	60.0%	4.72	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.83	4.78	4.78	4.94	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.72	4.78	4.77
Nelson, Ralph	ARC	5814	3	66.7%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.92
Nelson, Ralph	ARC	6884	11	90.9%	4.60	4.50	4.60	4.22	4.70	4.70	4.56	4.70	4.80	4.80	4.67	4.90	4.90	4.80
Nelson, Ralph	EAE	4014	12	91.7%	4.91	4.82	4.91	4.64	4.45	4.82	4.76	4.73	4.73	4.91	4.55	4.91	4.91	4.79
Nelson, Robert	TAS	1013	12	58.3%	4.00	3.86	4.29	4.00	3.86	4.00	4.00	4.29	3.86	4.14	4.14	4.57	4.29	4.21
Newberry, Jessica	ART	3633	15	100.0%	4.53	4.80	4.67	4.67	4.47	4.67	4.63	4.87	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.87	4.67	4.80
Nishikawa, Sara	ARC	3116	11	36.4%	4.00	3.75	4.25	4.25	4.00	4.25	4.08	4.50	4.00	4.25	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.42
Nolan, Godfrey	MCS	5993	4	100.0%	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.42	4.75	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.25	4.50
Nowicki, Michael	EAE	4113	11	90.9%	4.60	4.40	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.42	4.70	4.30	4.40	4.50	4.40	4.60	4.48
Offen, Gail	MCO	4073	12	66.7%	5.00	5.00	4.88	4.75	5.00	4.88	4.92	5.00	5.00	4.88	4.75	5.00	4.88	4.92
O'Geen, Charles	ARC	2313	11	45.5%	4.20	4.00	4.20	4.40	4.00	4.00	4.13	4.60	4.60	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.43
O'Geen, Charles	ARC	3116	11	27.3%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.00	3.00	3.67	4.00	4.00	3.83
Ogundu, Joseph	EIE	3043	15	60.0%	4.22	4.33	4.33	4.44	4.00	3.89	4.20	4.44	4.22	4.44	4.25	4.22	4.11	4.27
Ogundu, Joseph	EME	5983	28	71.4%	4.35	4.15	4.45	4.40	4.35	4.45	4.36	4.45	4.30	4.45	4.35	4.50	4.45	4.42
Oltrogge, Brian	ARC	3823	11	72.7%	4.50	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.50	4.38	4.54	4.88	4.75	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.69
Oltrogge, Brian	ARC	5814	11	90.9%	4.50	4.30	4.60	4.20	4.80	4.70	4.52	5.00	4.70	4.80	4.70	4.90	4.90	4.83
Oltrogge, Brian	ARC	6003	4	50.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Omrani, Massood	MBA	6073	7	71.4%	4.40	4.20	4.40	4.00	4.40	4.20	4.27	4.80	4.60	4.40	4.40	4.80	4.80	4.67
Omrani, Massood	MGT	4023	25	56.0%	4.29	4.21	4.21	4.00	4.14	4.21	4.18	4.43	4.50	4.50	4.36	4.43	4.50	4.45

Fall 2016 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Instructor Name	Subject Code	Course Number	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
Oranchak, Joseph	ARC	4443	14	78.6%	4.00	4.09	3.91	3.73	3.82	3.73	3.88	4.64	3.82	3.64	4.18	3.18	3.64	3.85
Orlowski, Edward	ARC	4116	9	77.8%	3.86	4.14	4.33	3.57	4.33	3.86	3.88	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.33	4.43	4.00	4.14
Orlowski, Edward	ARC	4224	2	100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.08	4.50	3.50	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.25
Orlowski, Edward	ARC	4582	10	70.0%	4.14	4.29	4.14	4.14	4.14	4.29	4.19	4.57	4.71	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.57	4.52
Orr, Mignonne	COM	1001	22	81.8%	3.56	3.71	3.82	3.72	3.83	3.59	3.71	4.33	4.06	4.29	3.94	4.39	4.06	4.18
Osler, Peter	ARC	2116	21	47.6%	3.40	4.33	4.10	2.33	4.30	3.80	3.70	4.60	3.20	3.50	2.67	3.70	3.40	3.53
Page, Raymond	ESL	0642	7	100.0%	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.81	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83
Palazzolo, Joseph	IDD	2216	8	62.5%	4.80	5.00	4.80	5.00	4.80	5.00	4.90	5.00	5.00	4.20	5.00	4.60	5.00	4.80
Pappas, George	BME	1202	14	71.4%	4.50	4.30	4.70	4.70	4.50	4.50	4.53	4.60	4.50	4.80	4.80	4.70	4.60	4.67
Pappas, George	BME	1201	46	65.2%	4.07	4.17	4.28	4.00	4.07	4.14	4.13	4.13	4.07	4.52	4.17	4.40	4.23	4.25
Pappas, George	EEE	4243	29	75.9%	3.82	4.05	3.86	3.91	3.68	3.68	3.83	4.19	4.10	4.05	4.05	3.90	3.95	4.04
Patel, Krunal	EME	3214	15	46.7%	4.71	4.29	4.57	4.43	4.00	4.29	4.38	4.86	4.71	5.00	5.00	4.86	4.90	
Pawlowski, Roger	EGE	3012	23	47.8%	4.36	4.18	4.45	4.55	4.18	4.00	4.30	4.55	4.55	4.64	4.55	4.00	4.45	4.45
Pawlowski, Roger	EME	3033	22	50.0%	4.27	4.27	4.18	4.18	4.18	4.18	4.21	4.27	4.00	4.09	4.36	4.18	4.09	4.17
Pawlowski, Roger	EME	4613	32	62.5%	4.40	4.30	4.45	4.45	4.35	4.30	4.38	4.45	4.50	4.35	4.45	4.45	4.30	4.42
Phillips, John	ATD	4414	13	53.8%	4.00	4.00	4.14	4.00	3.71	3.57	3.90	4.57	4.00	4.14	4.29	4.29	4.00	4.21
Phillips, Keith	ARI	4113	15	66.7%	3.00	3.80	3.70	2.90	3.10	3.40	3.32	4.60	3.50	3.70	3.70	4.60	3.70	3.97
Phillips, Keith	ARI	5814	7	71.4%	3.80	3.80	3.80	3.40	4.40	4.20	3.90	4.00	4.00	4.00	2.80	3.80	4.20	3.80
Phillips, Keith	ARI	5623	7	85.7%	3.67	3.83	4.17	3.83	4.00	4.17	3.94	4.17	4.17	4.17	4.17	4.33	4.17	4.19
Phillips, Rebekah	COM	1103	40	57.5%	4.30	4.00	4.30	4.35	4.26	4.13	4.22	4.52	4.48	4.57	4.52	4.61	4.48	4.53
Plowright, Philip	ARC	4116	11	81.8%	4.56	4.56	4.56	4.22	4.44	4.67	4.50	4.78	4.56	4.33	4.44	4.56	4.67	4.56
Plowright, Philip	ARC	5643	13	61.5%	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.38	4.38	4.54	4.88	4.75	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.75	4.71
Pohly, Sharon	EGE	2231	14	57.1%	4.38	4.50	4.38	4.50	4.38	4.25	4.40	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.63	4.38	4.54
Pokharel, Rajendra	PHY	2223	20	90.0%	3.72	3.61	3.83	3.78	3.61	3.50	3.68	4.33	3.44	3.83	3.94	3.94	3.50	3.83
Pokharel, Rajendra	PHY	2413	7	85.7%	3.83	3.83	4.00	4.17	3.50	3.83	3.86	4.17	3.50	4.00	3.83	4.33	3.83	3.94
Pokharel, Rajendra	PHY	2423	36	94.4%	4.18	4.00	4.12	4.18	4.00	3.91	4.06	4.38	3.56	4.21	3.91	4.50	3.91	4.08
Powell, Jeffrey	LLT	1223	19	63.2%	4.25	4.25	4.42	4.33	4.00	4.08	4.22	4.75	4.58	4.42	4.58	4.58	4.58	4.58
Powell, Jeffrey	SSC	2413	20	70.0%	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.07	4.14	4.29	4.30	4.86	4.64	4.50	4.29	4.64	4.71	4.61
Powell, Jeffrey	SSC	2423	28	57.1%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.56	4.63	4.44	4.52	4.88	4.69	4.44	4.44	4.75	4.63	4.64
Preuss, Kevin	ATD	3616	6	33.3%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.42	5.00	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.42
Quiring, Nicholas	ARC	1213	17	58.8%	2.60	3.50	3.20	2.70	2.60	2.40	2.83	3.20	1.90	2.60	2.40	2.80	2.00	2.48
Raghavan, Srikant	MBA	6063	17	35.3%	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.58	4.83	4.83	5.00	4.83	5.00	4.83	4.89
Raghavan, Srikant	MGT	3113	21	76.2%	4.50	4.44	4.63	4.38	4.53	4.44	4.48	4.63	4.56	4.50	4.56	4.60	4.56	4.56
Ragheb, Ashraf	ARC	3843	8	100.0%	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.38	4.50	4.75	4.56	4.63	4.50	4.50	4.63	4.75	4.63	4.60
Ragheb, Ashraf	ARC	5013	9	88.9%	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.75	4.63	5.00	4.63	4.71
Raj, Roop	MCO	2563	9	55.6%	4.60	4.40	4.60	3.80	4.60	4.40	4.40	4.60	4.20	4.60	4.00	4.80	4.20	4.40
Rakouth, Heritianarisoa	EEE	5144	7	100.0%	4.71	4.57	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.69	4.71	4.71	4.86	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.74
Randall, Matthew	LDR	2001	9	55.6%	3.80	3.80	4.20	3.80	3.80	3.80	3.87	4.40	4.00	4.00	4.40	4.60	4.20	4.27
Rands, Marilyn	PHY	2213	18	94.4%	4.41	4.59	4.59	4.47	4.18	4.18	4.40	4.82	4.53	4.71	4.65	4.76	4.53	4.67
Rands, Marilyn	PHY	2223	19	84.2%	4.38	4.38	4.56	4.56	4.19	4.31	4.40	4.63	4.38	4.50	4.44	4.56	4.44	4.49
Reimer, Donald	EGE	3341	2	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Renahan, Bridget	EEE	3121	18	44.4%	4.38	4.50	4.50	4.38	4.50	4.50	4.46	4.50	4.50	4.63	4.50	4.63	4.54	4.54
Revels, Teresa	ESL	0522	5	100.0%	5.00	4.80	5.00	4.80	5.00	4.80	4.89	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Rider, Joseph	ATD	2813	8	87.5%	5.00	4.86	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.98	5.00	4.86	5.00	5.00	4.86	5.00	4.95
Riedel, Chris	EME	5253	17	94.1%	4.63	4.50	4.50	4.44	4.38	4.50	4.49	4.56	4.56	4.44	4.38	4.44	4.56	4.49
Riedel, Chris	EME	5333	29	75.9%	4.59	4.50	4.64	4.68	4.91	4.73	4.67	4.77	4.68	4.68	4.64	4.64	4.77	4.70
Robie, Kyle	ATD	2813	8	87.5%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Rosen, Michael	MCS	1214	5	40.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.00	3.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.17
Rosen, Michael	MCS	3863	25	60.0%	3.27	3.60	3.67	3.87	3.60	3.53	3.59	3.87	3.53	3.79	3.87	4.40	3.80	3.88
Rost, Steven	ART	1113	15	86.7%	4.31	4.46	4.38	3.92	4.38	4.46	4.32	4.62	4.23	4.69	4.15	4.69	4.38	4.46
Rudy, Gretchen	ART	1113	34	67.6%	4.74	4.52	4.65	4.52	4.78	4.78	4.67	5.00	4.78	4.74	4.65	4.96	4.91	4.84

Fall 2016 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Instructor Name	Subject Code	Course Number	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
Sacchetti, David	TEE	2033	7	57.1%	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Sacchetti, David	TEE	4224	13	84.6%	4.82	4.73	4.82	4.91	4.82	4.91	4.83	4.82	4.82	4.82	4.82	4.80	4.82	4.81
Safioulline, Maxim	ART	3063	11	81.8%	2.56	3.56	3.33	2.56	3.00	2.89	2.98	4.56	3.22	3.56	3.67	4.67	3.56	3.87
Samardzic, Nikolina	EGE	3003	18	83.3%	3.33	3.33	3.40	3.40	2.60	3.27	3.22	3.80	2.80	3.53	3.27	3.47	2.93	3.30
Samardzic, Nikolina	EME	5153	27	81.5%	4.45	4.41	4.41	4.36	4.14	4.24	4.33	4.32	4.32	4.41	4.23	4.32	4.38	4.32
Schantz, Randy	LLT	1213	19	52.6%	3.70	3.70	4.00	3.10	3.60	3.40	3.57	4.10	3.90	3.50	2.80	2.80	3.20	3.38
Schihl, Peter	EME	7213	36	66.7%	4.54	4.67	4.67	4.63	4.75	4.54	4.63	4.75	4.75	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.67	4.72
Schlick, William	TEE	2093	5	80.0%	3.75	3.75	3.50	3.75	3.50	3.75	3.67	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.75	4.25	4.38
Schmehl, Shannon	ARC	5423	12	100.0%	4.42	4.42	4.42	4.50	4.17	4.42	4.39	4.33	4.42	4.33	4.42	4.67	4.33	4.42
Schneemann, Steven	ARC	3116	13	53.8%	4.57	4.29	4.29	4.14	4.71	4.57	4.43	4.57	4.29	3.86	4.14	4.14	4.14	4.19
Schneider, Christopher	ART	3023	12	58.3%	4.29	4.17	4.14	4.00	4.29	4.00	4.14	4.71	4.29	4.14	4.00	4.71	4.29	4.36
Schneider, Scott	PHY	1213	23	87.0%	4.65	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.55	4.65	4.66	4.75	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.75	4.70	4.72
Schneider, Scott	PHY	1221	18	94.4%	4.47	4.53	4.59	4.53	4.24	4.29	4.44	4.59	4.59	4.53	4.53	4.59	4.47	4.55
Schneider, Scott	PHY	2413	19	94.7%	4.67	4.67	4.78	4.78	4.61	4.67	4.69	4.89	4.78	4.78	4.72	4.78	4.89	4.81
Schneider, Scott	PHY	3653	7	85.7%	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.72	4.83	4.83	5.00	4.83	5.00	4.83	4.89
Schwartz, Martin	ARC	1012	94	71.3%	4.21	4.27	4.36	3.81	4.01	4.07	4.12	4.66	4.48	4.67	4.28	4.67	4.55	4.55
Sepulveda, Roland	TME	4113	15	80.0%	4.75	4.75	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.83	4.58	4.75	4.75	4.74
Shaba, Amal	MCS	1003	11	100.0%	4.73	4.64	4.55	4.73	4.55	4.55	4.62	4.73	4.64	4.45	4.73	4.64	4.91	4.68
Shaba, Amal	MCS	1514	11	81.8%	4.33	4.11	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.22	4.33	4.56	4.44	4.63	4.67	4.22	4.44	4.47
Shah, Nipa	INT	3603	22	54.5%	3.67	4.00	3.75	3.50	3.75	3.50	3.69	3.33	3.33	3.08	3.17	3.25	3.08	3.21
Shahwan, Khaled	EME	3043	33	72.7%	4.33	4.33	4.25	4.42	4.25	4.17	4.29	4.58	4.50	4.58	4.54	4.63	4.50	4.56
Shahwan, Khaled	EME	6983	18	88.9%	4.69	4.63	4.63	4.44	4.56	4.56	4.58	4.69	4.63	4.75	4.69	4.75	4.75	4.71
Shakiba-Herfeh, Mohammad	EME	6983	12	91.7%	4.27	4.18	4.27	4.36	4.36	4.36	4.30	4.82	4.45	4.45	4.55	4.55	4.55	4.56
Shall, Scott	ARC	5814	11	90.9%	4.50	4.30	4.60	4.20	4.80	4.70	4.52	5.00	4.90	4.90	4.70	5.00	4.90	4.90
Shamamy, Patricia	TIE	3063	16	50.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.63	4.38	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.63	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.60
Shamamy, Patricia	TIE	3203	33	69.7%	4.61	4.48	4.57	4.52	4.48	4.57	4.54	4.65	4.61	4.65	4.70	4.74	4.61	4.66
Shamamy, Patricia	TME	4103	27	63.0%	4.65	4.65	4.76	4.76	4.59	4.65	4.68	4.76	4.82	4.76	4.82	4.76	4.82	4.79
Shamir, Lior	MCS	4833	9	33.3%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
Shamir, Lior	MCS	4843	4	75.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.56
Shamir, Lior	MCS	7013	3	33.3%	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.83
Shamir, Lior	MCS	7033	3	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.94
Shamir, Mirrit	COM	1001	21	38.1%	2.88	2.88	3.13	3.38	2.50	1.88	2.77	4.00	3.50	3.88	4.00	3.50	3.63	3.75
Shamir, Mirrit	LDR	2001	32	50.0%	3.81	3.81	3.94	3.94	3.75	3.31	3.76	4.50	4.31	4.19	4.13	4.25	4.25	4.27
Shamir, Mirrit	SSC	6013	9	66.7%	4.83	4.83	4.50	4.83	4.33	4.50	4.64	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.69
Shargel, Daniel	SSC	2413	40	55.0%	4.00	4.23	4.27	3.82	3.86	3.77	3.99	4.36	4.18	4.45	4.18	4.41	4.18	4.30
Shargel, Daniel	SSC	3713	19	78.9%	4.27	4.33	4.27	4.07	4.00	3.93	4.14	4.33	4.20	4.47	4.20	4.53	4.13	4.31
Shih, Kelchen	ARC	2513	12	91.7%	4.18	4.40	4.55	4.09	3.91	4.00	4.18	4.73	4.09	4.55	4.55	4.73	4.36	4.50
Shortt, Duane	EMS	7203	8	87.5%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.86	4.98	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.86	5.00	4.98
Siddiq, Fauzia	BIO	1213	26	76.9%	4.00	3.95	3.95	4.30	4.05	3.45	3.95	4.30	3.75	4.15	4.20	4.20	3.35	3.99
Siddiq, Fauzia	BIO	1221	14	57.1%	4.50	4.50	4.13	4.50	4.25	4.38	4.38	4.38	3.63	4.14	4.00	3.88	3.75	3.93
Siddiq, Fauzia	FSC	1214	19	78.9%	4.40	4.33	4.47	4.33	4.13	4.27	4.32	4.40	4.27	4.27	4.27	4.40	4.27	4.31
Sims, Russell	ATD	3616	6	33.3%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
Sirabionian, Mgrdich	PSY	3213	7	57.1%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.54
Sirabionian, Mgrdich	PSY	4633	11	63.6%	4.57	4.43	4.57	4.57	4.43	4.29	4.48	4.43	4.57	4.43	4.43	4.57	4.43	4.48
Sitaram, Pattabhi	EME	5453	24	83.3%	4.10	4.00	4.15	3.95	3.90	3.80	3.98	4.40	3.90	4.15	3.95	3.95	3.85	4.03
Sitaram, Pattabhi	EME	6553	8	62.5%	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.70	5.00	4.60	4.80	4.80	5.00	4.80	4.83
Sky, Anthony	CHM	1213	23	52.2%	4.67	4.58	4.25	4.58	4.67	4.58	4.56	4.75	4.50	4.42	4.58	4.75	4.58	4.60
Sky, Anthony	CHM	1221	16	62.5%	4.20	4.10	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.05	4.20	3.70	3.80	3.90	4.20	4.00	3.97
Sky, Anthony	CHM	3463	3	100.0%	3.67	3.67	4.50	3.67	4.00	4.00	4.03	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.67	4.00	4.00	3.94
Sledge, James	SSC	2413	42	71.4%	4.63	4.73	4.57	4.60	4.63	4.60	4.63	4.73	4.60	4.63	4.67	4.73	4.70	4.68

Fall 2016 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Instructor Name	Subject Code	Course Number	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
Tsebro, Pavlo	FIN	3103	12	58.3%	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86
Tsebro, Pavlo	FIN	3113	3	66.7%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Tsebro, Pavlo	MBA	6003	7	57.1%	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.71	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.75	5.00	4.75	4.75
Tuo, Ling	ACC	2013	27	55.6%	3.80	4.00	4.00	3.93	3.73	3.53	3.83	4.13	3.47	3.73	3.87	3.80	3.60	3.77
Tuo, Ling	ACC	3033	5	80.0%	4.00	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.79	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50
Tuo, Ling	ACC	5003	17	47.1%	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.75	4.63	4.50	4.52	4.75	4.75	5.00	4.88	4.75	4.63	4.79
Turfe, Atallah	MCS	1424	14	57.1%	4.00	3.63	4.13	4.00	3.63	3.38	3.79	4.25	3.75	3.63	3.63	3.50	3.50	3.71
Turfe, Atallah	MCS	2414	19	57.9%	4.00	4.27	4.36	4.00	3.73	4.00	4.06	4.00	3.55	3.80	4.00	3.27	3.09	3.60
Vamvouka, Magdalini	CHM	1213	22	68.2%	3.73	3.60	3.73	3.67	3.73	3.60	3.68	3.87	3.00	3.40	3.53	3.00	3.13	3.32
Vamvouka, Magdalini	CHM	1221	19	68.4%	3.92	3.77	3.85	4.23	4.00	3.92	3.95	3.54	3.15	3.62	4.00	3.38	3.38	3.51
Vaynberg, Yelena	MCS	1214	25	84.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.76	4.43	4.71	4.65	4.62	4.48	4.71	4.76	4.81	4.71	4.68
Vaynberg, Yelena	MCS	1524	12	58.3%	4.00	3.57	4.14	4.29	4.29	4.00	4.05	4.14	4.14	4.14	4.29	4.29	4.14	4.19
Vaynberg, Yelena	MCS	3403	59	91.5%	3.78	3.98	4.13	3.79	3.87	3.67	3.86	3.80	3.91	4.23	4.15	4.15	3.96	4.02
Verma, Swati	MBA	6053	5	80.0%	4.75	4.25	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.75	4.75	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.92
Verma, Swati	MKT	2013	21	90.5%	4.32	4.26	4.26	4.26	3.95	4.16	4.20	4.37	3.95	4.26	4.26	4.16	4.21	4.20
Villeneuve, Nicole	CHM	1213	25	76.0%	4.37	4.05	4.22	4.42	4.37	4.32	4.29	4.58	4.21	4.42	4.42	4.47	4.42	4.42
Villeneuve, Nicole	CHM	2342	6	66.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Villeneuve, Nicole	CHM	2352	6	83.3%	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.60	3.20	3.80	4.10	4.80	5.00	4.80	4.80	5.00	4.80	4.87
Vogt, Philip	SSC	2413	52	63.5%	4.09	4.24	4.12	3.82	4.00	3.70	3.99	4.61	4.09	3.70	3.59	4.06	3.58	3.94
Vogt, Philip	SSC	3253	7	42.9%	4.33	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.33	3.67	4.06	5.00	4.00	3.33	3.67	3.67	3.33	3.83
Wallace, Sharon	COM	1103	40	60.0%	4.21	4.13	4.42	4.38	4.21	4.21	4.26	4.42	4.21	4.54	4.38	4.46	4.33	4.39
Wang, Paul	ARC	5814	11	54.5%	3.50	4.40	4.33	3.67	4.33	4.17	4.04	4.67	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.39
Wang, Paul	ARC	6883	2	100.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
Ward, Eric	ARC	2313	13	61.5%	4.63	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.00	4.50	4.35	4.88	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.65
Ward, Eric	ARC	2323	9	66.7%	4.67	4.17	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.80	4.44	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.80	5.00	4.33	4.49
Ward, Matthew	TCE	3053	4	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Ward, Michael	MCO	4073	12	66.7%	5.00	4.88	4.75	4.63	4.75	4.75	4.79	4.88	4.75	4.88	5.00	4.88	4.88	4.88
Watson, Donna	PSY	1213	18	66.7%	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.33	3.82	4.00	4.13	4.67	4.50	4.00	4.25	4.08	3.91	4.23
Weinstein, Melinda	LLT	1213	58	46.6%	3.70	3.85	3.81	3.56	3.70	3.56	3.70	4.15	3.89	3.89	3.70	4.04	3.93	3.93
Weinstein, Melinda	LLT	4913	17	58.8%	3.90	4.11	3.80	3.50	3.30	3.70	3.70	4.50	3.90	4.10	3.90	4.40	3.80	4.10
Weiss, Daniel	LLT	1223	18	66.7%	4.58	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.33	4.58	4.56	4.92	4.58	4.67	4.50	4.83	4.75	4.71
Welch, Elizabeth	ART	1113	17	64.7%	4.73	4.73	4.82	4.64	4.45	4.64	4.67	4.91	4.91	4.82	4.73	4.91	4.82	4.85
Welch, Elizabeth	ART	3563	11	72.7%	4.38	4.38	4.50	4.25	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.63	4.38	4.38	4.25	4.63	4.50	4.46
Welch, Elizabeth	ART	4922	11	81.8%	4.22	4.11	4.33	4.56	4.33	4.22	4.30	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.78	4.78	4.70
West, Anna	LLT	1223	33	57.6%	4.32	4.21	4.21	4.32	3.84	4.05	4.16	4.58	4.42	4.42	4.42	4.53	4.47	4.47
Wickramarachchi, Samantha	PHY	2221	5	40.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.50	4.00	3.92	3.50	3.50	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.83
Wickramarachchi, Samantha	PHY	2231	29	75.9%	4.00	3.95	4.09	3.86	3.77	3.82	3.92	4.14	3.95	3.95	4.05	4.14	3.86	3.99
Wickramarachchi, Samantha	PHY	2421	12	75.0%	4.44	4.25	4.44	4.44	4.33	4.11	4.31	4.33	3.89	4.22	4.56	4.33	4.22	4.26
Wilhelm, Stephanie	SSC	2423	19	57.9%	4.18	4.18	4.45	4.27	4.00	4.00	4.18	4.27	4.27	4.09	4.36	4.00	4.00	4.17
Williams, John	EME	4163	10	70.0%	4.86	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.57	4.71	4.76	4.71	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.83
Wojciechowski, Lea	COM	2103	16	50.0%	4.38	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.38	4.50	4.42	4.63	4.25	4.38	4.38	4.50	4.50	4.44
Wong, Margaret	ARC	2116	14	71.4%	4.40	4.60	4.70	4.40	4.60	4.70	4.57	5.00	4.80	4.70	4.70	4.90	4.90	4.83
Woodworth, James	CHM	1221	11	81.8%	4.44	4.38	4.44	4.56	4.56	4.56	4.48	4.89	4.67	4.67	4.78	4.44	4.67	4.69
Woodworth, James	FSC	1214	19	89.5%	4.53	4.47	4.65	4.59	4.29	4.29	4.47	4.65	4.65	4.65	4.65	4.29	4.53	4.57
Xia, Jinjun	EEE	2111	8	25.0%	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.17
Xia, Jinjun	EEE	3311	17	58.8%	4.20	4.10	4.50	4.44	4.50	4.30	4.34	4.56	4.20	4.40	4.30	4.70	4.30	4.38
Xia, Jinjun	EEE	3314	10	60.0%	4.00	4.17	3.83	3.83	3.83	4.00	3.94	4.67	4.17	4.50	4.00	4.67	4.33	4.39
Xia, Jinjun	EEE	5654	11	63.6%	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.61	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
Xie, Xin	EGE	2013	40	65.0%	3.85	3.77	3.81	3.96	3.50	3.62	3.75	3.81	2.73	3.31	3.31	3.73	3.12	3.33
Xie, Xin	EME	3013	25	56.0%	4.07	4.07	4.07	4.14	3.86	3.93	4.02	4.21	3.21	3.79	3.86	4.21	3.64	3.82
Xie, Xin	EME	3043	30	66.7%	4.25	4.30	4.40	4.40	3.85	3.95	4.19	4.25	3.80	4.00	4.20	4.25	3.75	4.04

Fall 2016 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Instructor Name	Subject Code	Course Number	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
Yassine, Mahmoud	EME	5143	32	81.3%	4.58	4.62	4.54	4.64	4.46	4.62	4.57	4.58	4.50	4.44	4.58	4.23	4.35	4.44
Yee, Kingman	EGE	3012	31	80.6%	4.52	4.40	4.48	4.44	4.32	4.40	4.43	4.60	4.28	4.50	4.36	3.88	4.32	4.32
Yee, Kingman	EME	2011	37	67.6%	4.48	4.28	4.40	4.12	4.28	4.24	4.30	4.67	4.38	4.58	4.20	4.42	4.54	4.38
Yee, Kingman	EME	4212	44	61.4%	4.04	3.92	4.00	4.07	4.11	3.81	4.00	4.19	4.12	4.12	4.04	3.96	4.00	4.07
Yee, Kingman	EME	4252	44	65.9%	3.48	3.64	3.76	3.86	3.71	3.66	3.67	4.00	3.97	3.97	4.07	4.03	4.03	4.01
Youkhana, Samer	MCS	1203	10	80.0%	4.13	4.38	4.38	3.88	3.86	3.38	4.00	4.13	3.75	4.50	4.25	4.63	4.14	4.23
Yu, Na	MCS	1414	144	61.1%	4.36	4.31	4.23	4.38	4.24	4.26	4.28	4.42	4.35	4.33	4.36	4.37	4.29	4.32
Yu, Na	MCS	1424	82	61.0%	4.06	4.04	3.98	4.02	4.08	3.94	4.02	4.09	4.15	4.17	4.09	4.09	4.07	4.11
Yu, Na	MCS	2414	30	53.3%	4.63	4.56	4.56	4.44	4.63	4.56	4.56	4.81	4.81	4.88	4.81	4.69	4.88	4.81
Yuen, Edmund Ee-Mun	ECE	3324	6	100.0%	3.67	3.83	3.83	3.83	3.50	3.83	3.75	4.50	3.67	3.67	3.83	3.83	3.83	3.89
Yuen, Edmund Ee-Mun	ECE	4544	13	92.3%	3.82	4.27	4.25	3.75	3.75	3.92	3.96	4.42	3.58	3.75	3.83	3.92	4.00	3.92
Yuen, Edmund Ee-Mun	ECE	4363	10	100.0%	4.40	4.50	4.40	4.20	4.30	4.30	4.35	4.70	4.50	4.30	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
Zalzali, Michael	MCS	3403	23	52.2%	4.17	3.92	3.83	3.83	3.92	3.83	3.92	4.50	4.08	3.92	4.00	4.09	4.17	4.13
Zalzali, Michael	MCS	2124	20	85.0%	4.47	4.59	4.53	4.41	4.59	4.53	4.52	4.76	4.53	4.53	4.65	4.59	4.53	4.60
Zendeli, Bashkim	MCS	1074	39	87.2%	4.24	4.26	4.18	3.88	4.00	3.97	4.09	4.50	4.12	4.12	4.21	4.18	4.12	4.21
Zhang, Jing	ATD	3616	6	33.3%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
Zhang, Yu	ACC	6003	17	100.0%	4.29	4.29	4.29	4.06	4.29	4.06	4.22	4.06	3.88	4.06	4.18	4.41	4.06	4.11
Zhang, Yu	MBA	7013	7	71.4%	4.80	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.80	5.00	4.93	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.80	5.00	4.97
Zhang, Yu	MBA	5051T	42	71.4%	3.73	3.77	3.70	3.97	3.87	3.63	3.78	4.10	3.63	3.86	4.03	3.93	3.73	3.85
Zhou, Changgong	PHY	2221	7	85.7%	3.50	3.50	3.17	3.50	3.17	3.33	3.36	3.67	3.33	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.83	3.81
Zhou, Changgong	PHY	2421	25	64.0%	3.69	4.13	4.19	3.81	3.94	3.75	3.92	4.44	3.94	4.19	4.25	3.94	4.06	4.14
Zhou, Changgong	PHY	4743	4	75.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
Zhou, Changgong	PHY	3661	4	75.0%	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.56	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
Zhou, Changgong	PHY	4781	4	75.0%	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.56	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
Zhou, Meng	BME	4023	3	66.7%	4.50	4.00	5.00	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.42	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.67
Zhou, Meng	CHM	1213	28	64.3%	4.11	4.28	4.00	4.22	4.17	4.00	4.13	4.33	3.89	4.35	4.33	4.22	4.11	4.19
Zhou, Meng	CHM	1221	19	73.7%	3.43	4.00	3.93	3.86	4.00	3.64	3.81	3.79	3.57	4.00	4.21	4.14	3.86	3.93
Zhu, Guang-Chong	MCS	1414	29	86.2%	4.56	4.36	4.48	4.32	4.28	4.32	4.39	4.76	4.44	4.56	4.44	4.60	4.52	4.55
Zhu, Guang-Chong	MCS	1424	33	69.7%	4.30	4.27	4.26	4.17	4.26	4.39	4.27	4.61	4.30	4.52	4.48	4.52	4.39	4.47
Zhu, Guang-Chong	MCS	2423	10	100.0%	4.50	4.50	4.30	4.30	4.30	4.30	4.37	4.90	4.50	4.50	4.70	5.00	4.30	4.65
Zhu, Jianjun	MBA	6053	13	61.5%	4.75	4.63	4.75	4.50	4.38	4.63	4.60	4.50	4.63	4.63	4.50	4.50	4.63	4.56
Zhu, Jianjun	MGT	4213	8	87.5%	4.29	4.14	4.43	4.57	4.29	4.57	4.38	4.43	4.43	4.57	4.43	4.71	4.29	4.48
Zingalie, Anthony	ATD	3616	6	16.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Zurawski, Erik	MCS	1142	19	36.8%	4.71	4.57	4.71	4.86	4.57	4.83	4.70	4.71	4.86	4.57	4.86	4.57	4.71	4.71
Zwiesler-Vollick, Julie	BIO	1213	24	50.0%	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.53	4.50	4.42	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.49
Zwiesler-Vollick, Julie	BIO	4103	15	73.3%	4.45	4.55	4.55	4.45	4.45	4.64	4.52	4.73	4.55	4.55	4.55	4.73	4.73	4.64
Zwiesler-Vollick, Julie	BIO	4912	4	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.00	3.50	4.00	4.00	3.50	3.92
Grand Total/Average			12,623	66.0%	4.25	4.25	4.30	4.22	4.18	4.17	4.23	4.48	4.26	4.36	4.31	4.40	4.31	4.35